arXiv:1612.06363v1 [math.AT] 19 Dec 2016

ON THE DEFORMATION COMPLEX OF HOMOTOPY AFFINE ACTIONS

EDUARDO HOEFEL, MURIEL LIVERNET, AND ALEXANDRE QUESNEY

Abstract. An affine action of an associative algebra A on a vector space V is an algebra morphism $A \to V \rtimes \mathrm{End}(V)$, where V is a vector space and $V \rtimes \mathrm{End}(V)$ is the algebra of affine transformations of V. The one dimensional version of the Swiss-Cheese operad, denoted $\mathbf{sc}_1$, is the operad that governs affine actions of associative algebras. This operad is Koszul and admits a minimal model denoted by $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$. Algebras over this minimal model are called *Homotopy Affine Actions*, they consist of an A_∞-morphism $A \to V \rtimes \mathrm{End}(V)$, where A is an A_∞-algebra. In this paper we prove a relative version of Deligne's conjecture. In other words, we show that the deformation complex of a homotopy affine action has the structure of an algebra over an SC_2 operad. That structure is naturally compatible with the E_2 structure on the deformation complex of the A_∞-algebra.

Introduction

The original Deligne's conjecture, now proved by many authors, states that there is an action of an operad weakly equivalent to the operad of chains of the 2-little discs operad $\mathcal{D}_2$ on the Hochschild cochain complex of an associative algebra. This question naturally arose as a topological counterpart of the fact that the Hochschild cohomology of an associative algebra have a Gerstenhaber algebra structure, that is, an action of the homology of $\mathcal{D}_2$.

The Hochschild cochain complex of an algebra controls deformations of the algebra and is also known as the deformation complex of the associative algebra. In this paper we are interested in understanding the structure of the deformation complex associated to a pair (A, V) where A is an associative algebra and V is a vector space endowed with an affine action $A \to V \rtimes \mathrm{End}(V)$. In this relative version of the original Deligne's conjecture, the topological operads in actions are the Swiss-cheese operads, which are 2-colored operads based on the little discs operads. Indeed we go further in this analysis since we prove that the deformation complex of an operad under SC_1 is an SC_2-algebra. Before going through the details of the paper, let us give an historical background.

State of the art. An operad is said to be E_n if it is weakly equivalent to the operad of chains of the little discs operad $\mathcal{D}_n$. In the case $n = 1$ the operad for associative algebra $\mathcal{A}s$ is E_1 as well as its minimal model $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$. Any E_1-algebra is in particular an $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-algebra and admits a deformation complex, similar to the Hochschild complex of an associative algebra. Indeed an $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-algebra is defined through its bar construction, which is its deformation

Date: December 20, 2016.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18G55, 18D50.

Key words and phrases. Koszul Operads, Homotopy Algebras, Deformation Theory.

This collaboration is funded by the Capes-Cofecub program MA 763-13 "Factorization algebras in Mathematical Physics and algebraic topology". The third author was partially supported by "CAPES - Projeto 88881.030367/2013-01 Bolsa PDJ".

complex. An $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-version of Deligne's conjecture states that the deformation complex of any E_1-algebra is an E_2-algebra. This was done by Kontsevich and Soibelman in [20], where they build an explicit operad $\mathcal{M}$ acting on the deformation complex of an $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-algebra (see also [6] for a very nice proof of this result). This operad will correspond to the closed part of ours. We refer also to Kauffman and Schwell in [18] for a topological proof of this fact.

The 2-dimensional Swiss cheese operad $\mathcal{SC}_2^{\mathrm{vor}}$ has been introduced by S. Voronov in [28] as a topological 2-colored operad, in order to understand spaces of configuration of points used by M. Kontsevich in deformation quantization and by B. Zwiebach in [29] in Open-Closed string field theory. The two colors are commonly called closed and open. It is shown by A. Voronov that its homology, $\mathbf{sc}_2^{vor}$, governs Gerstenhaber algebras (the closed structure) acting on associative algebras (the open structure).

In [14], the first author studied another version of the Voronov's Swiss-Cheese operad introduced by M. Kontsevich in [19], that we call here the Swiss-cheese operad and denote $\mathcal{SC}_2$. This operad admits operations having only closed input and an open output. In particular, there is an operation that transforms a closed variable into an open one, called the whistle. The operad $\mathcal{SC}_2^{\mathrm{vor}}$ is a suboperad of $\mathcal{SC}_2$. This operad is more accurate in compactification and deformation theory though it has the disadvantage of not being formal, as proved in [21]. Given an associative algebra, the pair $(HH^*(A), A)$ is an algebra over $\mathbf{sc}_2$, the homology of $\mathcal{SC}_2$. The map $HH^*(A, A) \to A$ sends $HH^*(A, A)$ onto $HH^0(A, A)$, the center of A.

As in the classical case, an operad is said to be $\mathrm{SC}_n^{(vor)}$ if it is weakly equivalent to the operad of chains of the topological operad $\mathcal{SC}_n^{(vor)}$. A differential graded vector space is said to be an $\mathrm{SC}_n^{(vor)}$-algebra if it has an action of an $\mathrm{SC}_n^{(vor)}$ operad. In [8], V. Dolgushev, D. Tamarkin and B. Tsygan proved that the pair $(CH^*(A, A), A)$ is an SC_2^{vor}-algebra, where $CH^*(A, A)$ denotes the Hochschild cochain complex of the associative algebra A.

Main result. In this paper we prove a relative $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$ version of the Deligne's conjecture. More precisely, we prove that the deformation complex of an SC_1-algebra is an SC_2-algebra. Indeed we prove that the deformation complex of any operad under an SC_1-operad is an SC_2-algebra. Notice that our approach is different in spirit from [8]: via deformation theory, we obtain an SC_2-algebra $(CH^*(A, A), CH^*(A, M))$, where M is an A-bimodule, such that its homology is the $\mathbf{sc}_2$-algebra $(CH^*(A, A), A)$. However, the SC_2 operad that we build here does not act on the pair $(CH^*(A, A), A)$, as we explain in Remark 3.2.3.

Contents of the paper. The algebra of affine transformations of a vector space V will be denoted by: $\mathrm{End}^+(V) := V \rtimes \mathrm{End}(V)$. It is the deformation complex of the E_0-algebra V, as pointed out by Kontsevich in [19]. The first parts of the paper are concerned with computing the deformation complex of an SC_1-algebra. In dimension 1, the relative case behaves like the E_1-case. An $\mathbf{sc}_1$-algebra is a pair (A, V) where A is associative and V is endowed with an algebra morphism $A \to \mathrm{End}^+(V)$, hence V is a (left) A-module and there is a map $f : A \to V$ such that $f(ab) = af(b)$. The operad $\mathbf{sc}_1$ is Koszul (Proposition 2.2.1) and admits a minimal model $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$. Thus any SC_1-algebra is an $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$-algebra. Proposition 2.4.4 says that an $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$-algebra (A, V) is given by an $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-algebra A and an $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-morphism $A \to \mathrm{End}(V)^+$.

The main result of Section 2, Theorem 2.6.3 states that the deformation complex of an $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$-algebra (A, V) is the mapping cone of a map induced by the whistle from the Hochschild cochain complex of A to the Hochschild cochain complex of A with coefficients in $\mathrm{End}^+(V)$. An operad $\mathcal{Q}$ is an operad under $\mathcal{P}$ if there is a map of operads $\eta : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{Q}$.

The endomorphism operad of a $\mathcal{P}$-algebra is a special case of an operad under $\mathcal{P}$. In section 2, we also define the deformation complex of an operad under $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$ and prove in Theorem 2.7.1 that it is the mapping cone of a map between Hochschild cochain complexes of operads as defined by Turchin in [26].

Section 3 is devoted to the construction of the operad $\mathcal{RB}$, called the operad of relative braces. This operad is built in the category of chain complexes over $\mathbb{Z}$. In Theorem 3.2.1. we prove that $\mathcal{RB}$ acts on the deformation complex of any operad $\mathcal{P}$ under $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$. The operad of relative braces has for closed part the operad $\mathcal{M}$ described by Kontsevich and Soibelman in [20], and that it acts on the deformation complex of an operad under $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$ is the result obtained therein. Here we describe the open part and the interaction with the closed part.

Section 4 is devoted to the proof of the Swiss-cheese Deligne's conjecture, that is, the proof that the operad $\mathcal{RB}$ is an SC_2-operad, weakly equivalent to the operad of chains of the Swiss cheese topological operad $\mathcal{SC}_2$, over $\mathbb{Z}$ (Theorem 4.4.6). In [24], the third author proved that a third operad $\mathcal{RS}$ is an SC_2-operad. Hence, in Section 4 we prove a weak homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{RB} \to \mathcal{RS}$. This is performed by using cellular decompositions as in [2].

That the closed part of $\mathcal{RS}$ and $\mathcal{RB}$ have isomorphic homologies over a field of characteristic 0 has been obtained by D. Dolgushev and T. Willwacher in [9]. Our result is a generalization of their, since we have a weak equivalence over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Contents

Introduction 1
1. Preliminaries 4
1.1. On differential graded vector spaces 4
1.2. Notation for operads 4
1.3. On the topological Swiss cheese operad 6
1.4. On SC_n and $\mathbf{sc}_n$-algebras 6
2. Deformation complex of SC_1-algebras and its variants 6
2.1. Notation for the operad $\mathbf{sc}_1$ 6
2.2. Algebras over the operad $\mathbf{sc}_1$ 7
2.3. Coderivations 8
2.4. Deformations of homotopy affine actions 10
2.5. Modules over $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-algebras and Hochschild cochain complex 12
2.6. The deformation complex of an $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$-algebra 13
2.7. On the deformation complex of an operad under $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$ 14
3. An operad acting on the deformation complex 15
3.1. Definition of the operad of relative braces $\mathcal{RB}$ 16
3.2. The deformation complex as an $\mathcal{RB}$-algebra 20
4. The Operad $\mathcal{RB}$ is an SC_2 operad 22
4.1. Definition of the relative surjections operad 23
4.2. Blow-up components in $\mathcal{T}$ as nested intervals 24
4.3. Blow-up components in $\mathcal{T}_{As}$ as restrictive nested intervals 29
4.4. Cellular decompositions 31
4.5. Proof of the cellular decomposition of $\mathcal{RB}$ (Proposition 4.4.5) 33
Appendix A. Generating operations of $\Lambda_c\mathcal{RB}$ 38
References 39

1. Preliminaries

1.1. **On differential graded vector spaces.** We work on a ground field $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic 0. The category **dgvs** is the category of lower $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$-vector spaces together with a differential of degree -1. Objects in **dgvs** are called for short dgvs. The degree of $x \in V$, where V is a dgvs is denoted by $|x|$. This category is enriched over **dgvs** and the differential graded vector space of maps from V to W is denoted by $\mathrm{Hom}(V, W)$. The dgvs $\mathrm{Hom}(V, V)$ is denoted $\mathrm{End}(V)$.

The suspension of a dgvs V is denoted by $\mathbf{s}V$ and defined as $(\mathbf{s}V)_n = V_{n-1}$. The suspension $\mathbf{s}$ is also seen as a map $\mathbf{s} : V \to \mathbf{s}V$ of **dgvs** of degree $+1$.

All along the text, we use Koszul sign convention for morphisms, that is, if $f : V_1 \to W_1$ and $g : V_2 \to W_2$ are morphisms of degree $|f|$ and $|g|$ respectively, then $f \otimes g : V_1 \otimes V_2 \to W_1 \otimes W_2$ is a morphism of degree $|f| + |g|$ defined by

$$(f \otimes g)(v_1 \otimes v_2) = (-1)^{|g||v_1|} f(v_1) \otimes g(v_2).$$

1.2. **Notation for operads.** We assume the reader to be familiar with operad theory. For notation and definition on colored operads and Koszul duality for colored operads we refer to [27]. We refer also to [15, Section 2] for details on 2-colored quadratic operads and their Koszul dual.

Consequently, this section is devoted to notations rather than to definitions.

1.2.1. *S-operads.* Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \star)$ be a symmetric monoidal category. Let S be a finite set of colors. The category $\mathbf{Fin}_S$ is the category whose objects $(X, x_0; i : X \to S)$ are pointed, non-empty finite sets together with a map i, and whose morphisms are pointed bijections commuting with i. An *S-collection* in $\mathcal{C}$ is a contravariant functor from $\mathbf{Fin}_S$ to $\mathcal{C}$. There is a notion of plethysm in this category, denoted $\circ_S$, and an *S-operad* in $\mathcal{C}$ is an S-collection $\mathcal{P}$ that is a monoid for $\circ_S$.

We will use most frequently the following notation: giving an object in $\mathbf{Fin}_S$ of the form $(X = \{0, \dots, k\}, x_0 = 0, i : X \to S)$ amounts to giving a sequence of elements $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ of S together with an element $s = i(0)$. An S-collection M is written as a family $M(I; s) \in \mathcal{C}$, where I runs over the aforementioned sequences, and $s = i(0)$. We will denote by $|I|$ the number of elements in the sequence and for $u \in S$ the set $\{s_i | s_i = u\}$ is denoted I_u.

With this interpretation in mind, there is a right action of the symmetric group

$$M(s_1, \dots, s_n; x) \to M(s_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, s_{\sigma(n)}; x)$$
$$m \mapsto m \cdot \sigma$$

induced by the bijections in $\mathbf{Fin}_S$.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be an S-operad. For $p \in \mathcal{P}(I; s)$ and $q \in \mathcal{P}(J; s_i)$, such that the i-th term of the sequence I is s_i, the partial composition is denoted, as usual, by $p \circ_i q$.

1.2.2. *Regular S-operads.* Functors from the discrete category whose objects are pointed sequences $(I; s)$ to $\mathcal{C}$ are called S-graded objects. Similarly to the case of uncolored operads, plethysm can be defined in this category, and then monoids, called *non-symmetric S-operads*. The forgetful functor from S-operads to non-symmetric S-operads admits a left adjoint $\mathcal{L}_S$. An S-operad $\mathcal{P}$ is *regular* if there exists a non-symmetric S-operad $\mathcal{P}^{ns}$ so that

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{L}_S(\mathcal{P}^{ns})$$

1.2.3. *S-operads described by generators and relations.* We will denote by $\mathcal{F}(M)$ the free S-operad generated by the S-collection M and by $\mathcal{F}(M;R)$ its quotient by the ideal generated by $R \subset \mathcal{F}(M)$.

1.2.4. *Algebras over an S-operads.* Let $A = (A_s)_{s\in S}$ be a family of objects of $\mathcal{C}$. Let I be a sequence $(s_1, \dots, s_k)$ of elements of S. We denote by A^I the object $A_{s_1} \otimes A_{s_2} \otimes \dots \otimes A_{s_k}$. The endomorphism operad End_A is the S-operad defined by $\mathrm{End}_A(I;s) = \mathrm{Hom}(A^I; A_s)$. By definition, A is *an algebra over the S-operad* $\mathcal{P}$ (or $\mathcal{P}$-algebra) providing there is a map of operads $\gamma : \mathcal{P} \to \mathrm{End}_A$.

For a sequence I such that $|I| = k$, for $p \in \mathcal{P}(I;s)$ and $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in A^{\otimes I}$ we denote by $p(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ the element of A_s obtained as $\gamma(p)(\underline{a})$.

In the category **dgvs**, the Koszul sign convention implies that if A is a $\mathcal{P}$-algebra, then for $p \in \mathcal{P}((x_1, \dots, x_k); s)$ and $q \in \mathcal{P}(J;t)$, such that $t = x_i$ and $|J| = l$

$$(p \circ_i q)(a_1, \dots, a_{k+l-1}) = (-1)^{|q|\sum_{r=1}^{i-1}|a_r|} p(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, q(a_i, \dots, a_{i+l-1}), a_{i+l}, \dots, a_{k+l-1}).$$

1.2.5. *Suspension of S-collections and S-operads.* The suspension of the S-collection $\mathcal{P}$ is

$$\Lambda\mathcal{P}(I;x) = s^{1-|I|}\mathcal{P}(I;x) \otimes \mathrm{sgn}_{|I|}.$$

If $\mathcal{P}$ is a $\{\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{o}\}$-colored operad, then the structure of $\mathcal{P}$-algebra on the pair $(V_\mathfrak{c}, V_\mathfrak{o})$ is equivalent to the structure of $\Lambda\mathcal{P}$-algebra on the pair $(sV_\mathfrak{c}, sV_\mathfrak{o})$.

The suspension of the $\{\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{o}\}$-collection $\mathcal{P}$ with respect to the color $\mathfrak{c}$ is

$$\Lambda_\mathfrak{c}\mathcal{P}(I;x) = s^{\delta_{x,\mathfrak{c}}-|I_\mathfrak{c}|}\mathcal{P}(I;x) \otimes \mathrm{sgn}_{|I_\mathfrak{c}|},$$

where δ denotes the Kronecker symbol. If $\mathcal{P}$ is an operad, then the structure of $\mathcal{P}$-algebra on the pair $(V_\mathfrak{c}, V_\mathfrak{o})$ is equivalent to the structure of $\Lambda_\mathfrak{c}\mathcal{P}$-algebra on the pair $(sV_\mathfrak{c}, V_\mathfrak{o})$.

1.2.6. *Convention for the $\circ$-product.* Let $\mathcal{P}$ be an S-operad in the category **dgvs**. For $p \in \mathcal{P}((x_1, \dots, x_k); s)$ and $q \in \mathcal{P}(J;t)$, define:

$$p \circ q = \sum_{i|x_i=t} p \circ_i q.$$

Notice that *a priori* this notation is not well constrained since each $p\circ_i q$ might live in different components of $\mathcal{P}$. However, if one considers the (weight) graded vector space

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P})_k = \bigoplus_{|I|=k+1, s\in S} \mathcal{P}(I;s),$$

then one has

$$p \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P})_k, q \in O(\mathcal{P})_l \implies p \circ q \in O(\mathcal{P})_{k+l}.$$

Furthermore, the $\circ$ product is a graded pre-Lie product.

1.3. **On the topological Swiss cheese operad.** There exist two versions of the Swiss cheese operad, the original one has been defined by Voronov in [28]. The one we consider in this paper is a slightly different version that has been considered by Kontsevich in [19].

Let $\mathcal{D}_n$ be the n-little discs operad. The topological operad $\mathcal{SC}_n$ is a 2-colored operad, where the set of colors is $\{c, o\}$.

For $k \geq 1$ and $J \in \{c, o\}^k$, set $J_c = \{x \in J, x = c\}$ and $J_o = \{x \in J, x = o\}$.

The topological space $\mathcal{SC}_n(J; c)$ is $\mathcal{D}_n(J)$, if $J_c = J$ and is the empty set otherwise.

The topological space $\mathcal{SC}_n(J; o)$ is the space of labeled configurations of non overlapping $|J_c|$ balls and $|J_o|$ semiballs in the unit upper semiball of dimension n, the semiballs being centered on the hyperplane of $\mathbb{R}^n$ whose equation is $x_n = 0$. With our convention, J_o can be empty, that is, we allow operations having only closed inputs and an open output, contrary to the operad built by Voronov. However, for $x \in \{c, o\}$, $\mathcal{SC}_n(\emptyset; x)$ is the empty space.

We refer to [19] and [16] for more details on $\mathcal{SC}_n$.

1.4. **On SC_n and $\mathbf{sc}_n$-algebras.** By definition an SC_n-algebra is an algebra over an operad which is weakly equivalent to the operad of singular chains with coefficients in $\mathbf{k}$ of $\mathcal{SC}_n$. Two differential graded operads are said to be weakly equivalent if there is a zig-zag of quasi-isomorphisms of operads relating them. The homology operad of $\mathcal{SC}_n$ is denoted by $\mathbf{sc}_n$.

We recall that an $\mathbf{sc}_2$-algebra structure on a pair of dgvs (G, A) is given by the following data:

- G is a differential graded Gerstenhaber algebra.
- A is a differential graded associative algebra.
- These two dgvs are related by a central morphism of differential graded associative algebras $f : G \to A$.

2. Deformation complex of SC_1-algebras and its variants

The operad $\mathcal{SC}_1$ deserves a special attention because it behaves differently than the operads $\mathcal{SC}_n$ for $n \geq 2$. The first difference relies in the fact that each space $\mathcal{SC}_1(J; x)$ has contractible path connected components and that $\mathcal{SC}_1$ is a regular operad. The second difference relies in the fact that for $n \geq 2$ the operad $\mathcal{SC}_n$ is not formal as proved in [21], though $\mathbf{sc}_n$ is Koszul (in the quadratic-linear sense) as proved in [16], whereas $\mathcal{SC}_1$ is formal and $\mathbf{sc}_1$ is Koszul quadratic.

As a consequence one can consider, as a model for SC_1-algebras, either $\mathbf{sc}_1$-algebras or $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$-algebras. Since the $\mathbf{sc}_1$-algebras are precisely affine actions, the $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$-algebras are also called *Homotopy Affine Actions*.

In this section, we will compute the deformation complex of an $\mathbf{sc}_1$-algebra, deduce the definition of an $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$-algebra and compute the deformation complex of an $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$-algebra. These deformation complexes will be expressed in terms of Hochschild cohomology of an associative algebra or $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-algebra with coefficients in a module. We end the section by considering the deformation complex of an operad under $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$.

2.1. **Notation for the operad $\mathbf{sc}_1$.** Notice that because $\mathbf{sc}_1$ is regular, that is, $\mathbf{sc}_1 = \mathcal{L}_{\{c,o\}}(\mathbf{sc}_1^{ns})$, algebras over $\mathbf{sc}_1^{ns}$ in the context of non-symmetric $\{c, o\}$-operads coincide with algebras over $\mathbf{sc}_1$ in the context of $\{c, o\}$-operads. Hence, in all the text $\mathbf{sc}_1$ will denote the

non-symmetric operad, that is

$$\mathbf{sc}_1(c^{\times n}; c) = \mathbf{k},\ \mathbf{sc}_1(c^{\times n}; o) = \mathbf{k},\ \mathbf{sc}_1(c^{\times n-1}, o; o) = \mathbf{k},\ \forall n \geq 1$$

and is 0 otherwise.

2.2. **Algebras over the operad $\mathbf{sc}_1$.** An algebra over $\mathbf{sc}_1$ in the category of vector spaces is a pair (A, V) subject to the following conditions:

(a) $(A, *)$ is an associative algebra,
(b) V is an A-left module, that is, there exists an action $\rho : A \otimes V \to V$ such that $\rho(a * b, v) = \rho(a, \rho(b, v)), \forall a, b \in A, \forall v \in V$,
(c) there is a map $f : A \to V$ such that $f(a * b) = \rho(a, f(b)), \forall a, b \in A$.

Equivalently the operad $\mathbf{sc}_1$ is described as

$$\mathbf{sc}_1 = \mathcal{F}(\mu, \rho, f)/ < R >$$

where $\mu \in \mathbf{sc}_1(c, c; c), \rho \in \mathbf{sc}_1(c, o; o)$ and $f \in \mathbf{sc}_1(c; o)$. The ideal R is generated by the quadratic relations

$$\mu \circ_1 \mu - \mu \circ_2 \mu, \quad \rho \circ_1 \mu - \rho \circ_2 \rho, \quad f \circ_1 \mu - \rho \circ_2 f.$$

Proposition 2.2.1. *The operad $\mathbf{sc}_1$ is Koszul.*

Proof. The operad $\mathbf{sc}_1$ is a regular colored operad, and one can adapt the rewriting rule explained in [22, Chapter 8].

The order $\mu > \rho > f$ induces a total order on planar colored trees. For instance in the weight 2 part of the operad, one has

$$\mu \circ_1 \mu > \rho \circ_1 \mu > f \circ_1 \mu > \mu \circ_2 \mu > \rho \circ_2 \rho > \rho \circ_2 f$$

and the three critical pairs $\mu \circ_1 \mu \circ_1 \mu, \rho \circ_1 \mu \circ_1 \mu, f \circ_1 \mu \circ_1 \mu$ are all confluent (they all give rise to a pentagon relation). □

Assume (A, V) is a dg $\mathbf{sc}_1$-algebra, and let d_A be the differential on A and d_V be the differential on V. Following Kontsevich in [19], one gets the following definition.

Definition 2.2.2. Let $V \in \mathbf{dgvs}$. The Lie algebra of the group of affine transformations of V, denoted by $\mathrm{End}^+(V) = V \rtimes \mathrm{End}(V)$, is a differential graded associative algebra for the following multiplication and differential:

$$\begin{aligned}(v, \varphi) \cdot (w, \psi) =& (\varphi(w), \varphi\psi),\\ d_{End^+(V)}(v, \varphi) =& (d_V v, d_V\varphi - (-1)^{|\varphi|}\varphi d_V).\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.2.3. *Differential graded algebras over the operad $\mathbf{sc}_1$ are triples (A, V, α) where A is a differential graded associative algebra, V a dgvs and $\alpha : A \to \mathrm{End}^+(V)$ is a map of differential graded associative algebras.*

Proof. The relations satisfied by ρ and f in the definition of an $\mathbf{sc}_1$-algebra implies that $\alpha : A \to \mathrm{End}^+(V)$ defined by $\alpha(a) = (f(a), \rho(a))$ satisfies $\alpha(ab) = (f(ab), \rho(ab)) = (\rho(a)(f(b)), \rho(a)\rho(b)) = (f(a), \rho(a)) \cdot (f(b), \rho(b))$ A similar computation gives the result for the differential. □

2.3. **Coderivations.** Following general operad theory (see [22, Chapter10]), the operad $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$ is defined as $\Omega(\mathbf{sc}_1^!)$ and the deformation complex of an $\mathbf{sc}_1$-algebra (A,V) is given by the complex of coderivations $(\mathrm{Coder}(\mathbf{sc}_1^!(A,V)),[\delta_\varphi,-])$ where δ_φ is the square zero coderivation induced by the $\mathbf{sc}_1$-algebra structure on (A,V). Furthermore an $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$-algebra structure on the pair (A,V) is equivalent to a square zero coderivation δ on $\mathrm{Coder}(\mathbf{sc}_1^!(A,V))$ and the complex of deformation of the $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$-algebra (A,V) is $(\mathrm{Coder}(\mathbf{sc}_1^!(A,V)),[\delta,-])$.

In this section we compute the complex of deformation of an $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$-algebra, which is equivalent to the structure of a homotopy affine action.

Lemma 2.3.1. *Let (A,V) be a pair of graded vector spaces. The $\mathbf{sc}_1^!$-coalgebra $\mathbf{sc}_1^!(A,V)$ is the pair (B,W) where $B=\mathbf{s}^{-1}\overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A)$ and $W=\overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A)\oplus T^c(\mathbf{s}A)\otimes V$. The structure maps are the following*

$$\Delta : B\to B\otimes B,\quad \Delta_l : W\to B\otimes W,\quad h : W\to B,$$

where $\mathbf{s}B$ is the free coassociative coalgebra generated by $\mathbf{s}A$ and $\Delta'=(\mathbf{s}\otimes\mathbf{s})\Delta\mathbf{s}^{-1}$ is the deconcatenation, W is a cofree left $\mathbf{sc}_1^!$-B-comodule, that is, $\Delta'_l=(\mathbf{s}\otimes 1_W)\Delta_l$ is the deconcatenation, and $h'=\mathbf{s}h$ is the projection onto the first factor of W.

Proof. This case being similar to the case of the associative operad, we refer to [22, Chapter 7] for computational details.

From Section 2.2, the cooperad $\mathbf{sc}_1^!$ is $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{s}\mu,\mathbf{s}\rho,\mathbf{s}f;\mathbf{s}^2R)$ and satisfies:

$$(1)\qquad \mathbf{sc}_1^!(c^{\times n};c)=\mathbf{k}\,\mathbf{s}^{n-1}\mu_n,\quad \mathbf{sc}_1^!(c^{\times m};o)=\mathbf{k}\,\mathbf{s}^m f_m,\quad \mathbf{sc}_1^!(c^{\times p},o;o)=\mathbf{k}\,\mathbf{s}^p\rho_{p+1},$$

for $n,m\geq 1$ and $p\geq 0$ with μ_n,f_m,ρ_p of degree 0. As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned}
B&=\bigoplus_{n\geq 1}\mathbf{sc}_1^!(c^{\times n};c)\otimes A^{\otimes n}=\bigoplus_{n\geq 1}\mathbf{s}^{n-1}A^{\otimes n}=\mathbf{s}^{-1}\overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A)\\
W&=\bigoplus_{m\geq 1}\mathbf{sc}_1^!(c^{\times m};o)\otimes A^{\otimes m}\oplus\bigoplus_{m\geq 0}\mathbf{sc}_1^!(c^{\times m},o;o)\otimes A^{\otimes m}\otimes V\\
&=\bigoplus_{m\geq 1}\mathbf{s}^m A^{\otimes m}\oplus\bigoplus_{m\geq 0}\mathbf{s}^m A^{\otimes m}\otimes V=\overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A)\oplus T^c(\mathbf{s}A)\otimes V.
\end{aligned}$$

The maps Δ,Δ_l and h follows similarly to the case of the operad $\mathcal{A}s$. We refer to [1, Section 3] for the signs convention. □

Remark 2.3.2. In the above lemma, $\Delta'=(\mathbf{s}\otimes\mathbf{s})\Delta\mathbf{s}^{-1},\Delta'_l=(\mathbf{s}\otimes 1_W)\Delta_l$ and $h'=\mathbf{s}h$, satisfy coassociative relations, that is

$$(\Delta'\otimes 1_{\mathbf{s}B})\Delta'=(1_{\mathbf{s}B}\otimes\Delta')\Delta',\quad (\Delta'\otimes 1_W)\Delta'_l=(1_{\mathbf{s}B}\otimes\Delta'_l)\Delta'_l,\quad \Delta'h'=(1_{\mathbf{s}B}\otimes h')\Delta'_l.$$

The maps Δ' and Δ'_l are deconcatenation and we use the following notation: if $\underline{a}\in T^c(\mathbf{s}A)$ then

$$\Delta'(\underline{a})=\sum a_{(1)}\otimes a_{(2)},$$

same notation for Δ'_l.

Lemma 2.3.3. *Let (A, V) be a pair of graded vector spaces. There is an isomorphism*

$$\delta : \mathrm{Hom}(\overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A), \mathbf{s}A) \times \mathrm{Hom}(\overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A) \oplus T^c(\mathbf{s}A) \otimes V, V) \to \mathrm{Coder}(\mathbf{sc}_1^{\mathrm{i}}(A, V))$$

given by

$$\delta(\varphi = (\varphi_c, \varphi_o)) = ((\delta\varphi)_c, (\delta\varphi)_o)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
(\delta\varphi)_c(\underline{a}) &= \sum \pm a_{(1)}\varphi_c(a_{(2)})a_{(3)} \\
(\delta\varphi)_o(\underline{a} + \underline{b} \otimes v) &= \sum \pm a_{(1)}\varphi_c(a_{(2)})a_{(3)} + \sum \pm a_{(1)} \otimes \varphi_o(a_{(2)}) \\
&\quad + \sum \pm b_{(1)}\varphi_c(b_{(2)})b_{(3)} \otimes v + \sum \pm b_{(1)} \otimes \varphi_o(b_{(2)} \otimes v),
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where the signs are determined by the Koszul sign rule. The graded Lie structure on the space of coderivations induces a graded pre-Lie structure on the space $\mathrm{Hom}(\overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A), \mathbf{s}A)) \times \mathrm{Hom}(\overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A) \oplus T^c(\mathbf{s}A) \otimes V, V)$ *given by*

$$(\varphi \circ \psi)_c = \varphi_c \circ \psi_c, \quad (\varphi \circ \psi)_o = \varphi_o \circ (\psi_c + \psi_o). \tag{3}$$

Proof. We recall our notation: $B = \mathbf{s}^{-1}\overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A)$ and $W = \overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A) \oplus T^c(\mathbf{s}A) \otimes V$. Hence $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{sc}_1^{\mathrm{i}}(A, V), (A, V)) = \mathrm{Hom}(B, A) \times \mathrm{Hom}(W, V)$. Notice that $\mathrm{Hom}(B, A) = \mathrm{Hom}(\overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A), \mathbf{s}A)$.

Let $\varphi = (\varphi_c, \varphi_o) \in \mathrm{Hom}(B, A) \times \mathrm{Hom}(W, V)$, that is $\varphi_c : \overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A) \to \mathbf{s}A$ and $\varphi_o : \overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A) \oplus T^c(\mathbf{s}A) \otimes V \to V$. The map $\delta\varphi$ is the unique coderivation of $\mathbf{sc}_1^{\mathrm{i}}$-coalgebras such that its composition with the projection $\mathbf{sc}_1^{\mathrm{i}}(A, V) \to (A, V)$ coincides with φ. Being a coderivation of $\mathbf{sc}_1^{\mathrm{i}}$-coalgebras amounts to the following commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{s}B & \xrightarrow{\Delta'} & \mathbf{s}B \otimes \mathbf{s}B \\
{\scriptstyle (\delta\varphi)_c}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\delta\varphi)_c \otimes 1_{\mathbf{s}B} + 1_{\mathbf{s}B} \otimes (\delta\varphi)_c} \\
\mathbf{s}B & \xrightarrow{\Delta'} & \mathbf{s}B \otimes \mathbf{s}B
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
W & \xrightarrow{\Delta'_l} & \mathbf{s}B \otimes W \\
{\scriptstyle (\delta\varphi)_o}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\delta\varphi)_c \otimes 1_W + 1_{\mathbf{s}B} \otimes (\delta\varphi)_o} \\
W & \xrightarrow{\Delta'_l} & \mathbf{s}B \otimes W
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
W & \xrightarrow{h'} & \mathbf{s}B \\
{\scriptstyle (\delta\varphi)_o}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\delta\varphi)_c} \\
W & \xrightarrow{h'} & \mathbf{s}B
\end{array}$$

and equations (2) make the diagrams commute. The pre-Lie product $\varphi \circ \psi$ is given by the composite of φ with $\delta(\psi)$, yielding formulas (3). □

Notation 2.3.4. Let $\overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(T^c(\mathbf{s}A), \mathrm{End}^+(V))$ be the sub-vs of $\mathrm{Hom}(T^c(\mathbf{s}A), \mathrm{End}^+(V))$ of maps f satisfying $f(\mathbf{k}) \subset \mathrm{End}(V)$. The graded vector space $\mathrm{Hom}(\overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A) \oplus T^c(\mathbf{s}A) \otimes V, V)$ is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(T^c(\mathbf{s}A), \mathrm{End}^+(V))$. Furthermore, the latter graded vector space is a graded associative algebra using the convolution product, where $T^c(\mathbf{s}A)$ is the free conilpotent coalgebra with deconcatenation and $\mathrm{End}^+(V)$ is the algebra defined in Definition 2.2.2. We denote by $*$ this convolution product. More precisely

$$\varphi * \psi = \mu(\varphi \otimes \psi)\Delta', \tag{4}$$

where μ denotes the product in $\mathrm{End}^+(V)$.

Lemma 2.3.5. *Let (A, V) be a pair of graded vector spaces. There is an isomorphism of graded pre-Lie algebras*

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A), \mathbf{s}A)) \times \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(T^c(\mathbf{s}A), \mathrm{End}^+(V)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A), \mathbf{s}A)) \times \mathrm{Hom}(\overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A) \oplus T^c(\mathbf{s}A) \otimes V, V)$$

where the pre-Lie structure on the left hand side is given by

$$(\varphi \odot \psi)_c = \varphi_c \circ \psi_c, \quad (\varphi \odot \psi)_o = \varphi_o \circ \psi_c + \varphi_o * \psi_o. \tag{5}$$

Proof. Let $\varphi = (\varphi_c, \varphi_o)$ be an element of $\mathrm{Hom}(\overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A), \mathbf{s}A) \times \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(T^c(\mathbf{s}A), \mathrm{End}^+(V))$ and let $\tilde{\varphi}$ be the corresponding element on the right hand side. We write $(\varphi_o^1, \varphi_o^2)$ for the components of φ_o on $V \oplus \mathrm{End}(V)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\varphi}_c =& \varphi_c \\ \tilde{\varphi}_o(\underline{a} + \underline{b} \otimes v) =& \varphi_o^1(\underline{a}) + \varphi_o^2(\underline{b})(v).\end{aligned}$$

Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A), \mathbf{s}A)) \times \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(T^c(\mathbf{s}A), \mathrm{End}^+(V))$. If $\gamma = \varphi \odot \psi$ exists then $\tilde{\gamma} = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \tilde{\psi}$, that is, on the one hand

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\gamma}_o(\underline{a} + \underline{b} \otimes v) =& \tilde{\varphi}_o \circ (\tilde{\psi}_c + \tilde{\psi}_o)(\underline{a} + \underline{b} \otimes v) \\ =& (\varphi_o^1 \circ \psi_c)(\underline{a}) + (\varphi_o^2 \circ \psi_c)(\underline{b})(v) + \sum \pm \tilde{\varphi}_o(a_{(1)} \tilde{\psi}_o(a_{(2)})) + \sum \pm \tilde{\varphi}_o(b_{(1)} \tilde{\psi}_o(b_{(2)} \otimes v)) \\ =& (\varphi_o^1 \circ \psi_c)(\underline{a}) + \sum \pm \varphi_o^2(a_{(1)})(\psi_o^1(a_{(2)})) + \\ & (\varphi_o^2 \circ \psi_c)(\underline{b})(v) + \sum \pm \varphi_o^2(b_{(1)})(\psi_o^2(b_{(2)})(v)) \\ =& \gamma_o^1(\underline{a}) + \gamma_o^2(\underline{b})(v).\end{aligned}$$

and on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}&(\widetilde{\varphi_o \circ \psi_c} + \widetilde{\varphi_o * \psi_o})(\underline{a} + \underline{b} \otimes v) = \varphi_o^1 \circ \psi_c(\underline{a}) + (\varphi_o^2 \circ \psi_c)(\underline{b})(v) + (\varphi_o * \psi_o)^1(\underline{a}) + (\varphi_o * \psi_o)^2(\underline{b})(v) \\ &= \varphi_o^1 \circ \psi_c(\underline{a}) + (\varphi_o^2 \circ \psi_c)(\underline{b})(v) + \sum \pm (\varphi_o(a_{(1)}) \cdot \psi_o(a_{(2)}))^1 + \sum \pm (\varphi_o(b_{(1)}) \cdot \psi_o(b_{(2)}))^2(v) \\ &= \varphi_o^1 \circ \psi_c(\underline{a}) + \sum \pm \varphi_o^2(a_{(1)})(\psi_o^1(a_{(2)})) + (\varphi_o^2 \circ \psi_c)(\underline{b})(v) + \sum \pm \varphi_o^2(b_{(1)})(\psi_o^2(b_{(2)})(v))\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, one has $(\varphi \odot \psi)_c = \varphi_c \circ \psi_c$ by definition. □

Notation 2.3.6. The graded pre-Lie algebra $(\mathrm{Hom}(\overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A), \mathbf{s}A) \times \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(T^c(\mathbf{s}A), \mathrm{End}^+(V)), \odot)$ is denoted $\mathrm{Def}(A, V)$.

2.4. **Deformations of homotopy affine actions.** In the previous section we have interpreted the pre-Lie structure on $\mathrm{Coder}(\mathbf{sc}_1^!(A, V))$ in terms of a pre-Lie structure in $\mathrm{Def}(A, V)$. If (A, V) is a pair of dgvs, then $\mathrm{Coder}(\mathbf{sc}_1^!(A, V))$ is a dg pre-Lie algebra, with the differential induced by those of A and V and so is $\mathrm{Def}(A, V)$. We denote by ∂ this differential. Namely $\partial(\varphi_c, \varphi_o) = ((\partial\varphi)_c, (\partial\varphi)_o)$ with

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial\varphi)_c =& d_{\mathbf{s}A}\varphi_c - (-1)^{|\varphi_c|}\varphi_c \circ d_{\mathbf{s}A} \\ (\partial\varphi)_o =& d_{\mathrm{End}^+(V)}\varphi_o - (-1)^{|\varphi_o|}\varphi_o \circ d_{\mathbf{s}A}.\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.4.1. Let $\mathbf{d} \in \mathrm{Def}(A, V)$ be defined by $\mathbf{d}_c = d_{\mathbf{s}A}$ and $\mathbf{d}_o = d_V$, that is, $\mathbf{d}_o$ is the map such that $\mathbf{d}_o(1_{\mathbf{k}}) = (0, d_V)$ and such that $\mathbf{d}_o((\mathbf{s}A)^{\otimes n}) = 0$, for $n \geq 1$.

Then

$$\mathbf{d} \odot \mathbf{d} = 0 \text{ and } \partial\varphi = \mathbf{d} \odot \varphi - (-1)^{|\varphi|}\varphi \odot \mathbf{d} = [\mathbf{d}, \varphi].$$

Definition 2.4.2. Let (A, V) be a pair of dgvs. A *Maurer Cartan element* $\varphi = (\varphi_c, \varphi_o)$ in $\mathrm{Def}_{-1}(A, V)$, is an element such that $\varphi_c(\mathbf{s}A) = 0$ and $\varphi_o(\mathbf{k}) = 0$, and such that

$$\partial\varphi + \varphi \odot \varphi = (\mathbf{d} + \varphi) \odot (\mathbf{d} + \varphi) = 0.$$

As a consequence, if φ is a Maurer Cartan element, given ψ in $\mathrm{Def}(A, V)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}([\mathbf{d} + \varphi, \psi])_o =& (\mathbf{d} + \varphi) \odot \psi - (-1)^{|\psi|}\psi \odot (\mathbf{d} + \varphi) \\ =& d_{\mathrm{End}^+(V)}\psi_o - (-1)^{|\psi]}\psi_o \circ (d_{\mathbf{s}A} + \varphi_c) + \varphi_o \circ \psi_c + \varphi_o * \psi_o - (-1)^{|\psi|}\psi_o * \varphi_o\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

induces a differential on $\mathrm{Def}(A, V)$ denoted δ_φ.

Definition 2.4.3. Let (A, V) be a pair of dgvs. An $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$-*algebra structure* on (A, V) is given by a Maurer Cartan element φ in $\mathrm{Def}_{-1}(A, V)$. The complex $(\mathrm{Def}(A, V), \delta_\varphi)$ is called the *deformation complex* of the $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$-algebra $(A, V; \varphi)$.

Proposition 2.4.4. *The pair of dgvs (A, V) is endowed with an $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$-algebra structure if and only if A is an $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-algebra endowed with an $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-morphism from A to the differential graded associative algebra* $\mathrm{End}^+(V)$.

Proof. Let φ be the Maurer Cartan element in $\mathrm{Def}_{-1}(A, V)$ defining the $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$-algebra structure on (A, V). Then $\varphi = (\varphi_c, \varphi_o)$ with $\varphi_c \in \mathrm{Hom}(\overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A), \mathbf{s}A)$ and $\varphi_o \in \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(T^c(\mathbf{s}A), \mathrm{End}^+(V))$. Equation $\partial\varphi + \varphi \odot \varphi = 0$ and the definition (5) of the pre-Lie product give

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathbf{s}A} \circ \varphi_c + \varphi_c \circ d_{\mathbf{s}A} + \varphi_c \circ \varphi_c =& 0 \\ d_{\mathrm{End}^+(V)}\varphi_o + \varphi_o \circ d_{\mathbf{s}A} + \varphi_o * \varphi_o + \varphi_o \circ \varphi_c =& 0. \end{aligned}$$

The first relation says that φ_c is a Maurer Cartan element in $\mathrm{Def}_{-1}(A)$ yielding an $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-structure on A.

Recall that if (R, μ, d_R) is a differential graded associative algebra, then $(T^c(sR), \partial)$ is a dg coalgebra where the coderivation is induced by $\partial_1(\mathbf{s}r) = -\mathbf{s}d_R(r)$ and $\mathbf{s}^{-1}\partial_2(\mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s}) = \mu$. Let R be the differential graded associative $\mathrm{End}^+(V)$ and define $F : \overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A) \to \overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}R)$ as the unique morphism of coalgebras extending $\mathbf{s}\varphi_o$. In particular, F composed with the projection onto $\mathbf{s}R$ is $\mathbf{s}\varphi_o$ and F composed with the projection onto $(\mathbf{s}R)^{\otimes 2}$ is $(\mathbf{s}\varphi_o \otimes \mathbf{s}\varphi_o)\Delta'$ where Δ' is the deconcatenation product of $\overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A)$. Therefore, since φ_o has degree -1:

$$\mathbf{s}(\varphi_o * \varphi_o) = \mathbf{s}\mu(\varphi_o \otimes \varphi_o)\Delta' = \partial_2(\mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s})(\varphi_o \otimes \varphi_o)\Delta' = -\partial_2(\mathbf{s}\varphi_o \otimes \mathbf{s}\varphi_o)\Delta' = -\partial_2 F.$$

Hence $(\partial\varphi + \varphi \odot \varphi)_o = 0$ writes

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{s}d_{\mathrm{End}^+(V)}\varphi_o + (\mathbf{s}\varphi_o) \circ d_{\mathbf{s}A} + \mathbf{s}(\varphi_o * \varphi_o) + (\mathbf{s}\varphi_o) \circ \varphi_c =& \\ - \partial_1(\mathbf{s}\varphi_o) - \partial_2(F) + F \circ (\varphi_c + d_{\mathbf{s}A}) = -(\partial_1 + \partial_2)(F) + F \circ (\varphi_c + d_{\mathbf{s}A}) = 0.&\end{aligned}$$

which amounts to say that F is an $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-morphism. □

Remark 2.4.5. We recover that if (A, V) is an $\mathbf{sc}_1$-algebra, then the Maurer-Cartan element φ is such that φ_c vanishes except on $(\mathbf{s}A)^{\otimes 2}$ while φ_o vanishes except on $\mathbf{s}A$, hence the $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-morphism F reduces to a morphism of differential graded associative algebras.

2.5. **Modules over $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-algebras and Hochschild cochain complex.** In this section we refer to [1] and [12] for the theory of representations of a $\mathcal{P}_\infty$-algebra and more specifically for representations of $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-algebras.

In this section A is an $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-algebra and $\varphi_c : \overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A) \to \mathbf{s}A$ is its structure morphism, that is, $(d_{\mathbf{s}A} + \varphi_c) \circ (d_{\mathbf{s}A} + \varphi_c) = 0$.

Definition 2.5.1. A dgvs (N, d_N) is said to be an $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-A-*module* (or A-bimodule in the terminology of Getzler and Jones) if there exists a map

$$K : T^c(\mathbf{s}A; \mathbf{s}N) := T^c(\mathbf{s}A) \otimes \mathbf{s}N \otimes T^c(\mathbf{s}A) \to \mathbf{s}N$$

of degree -1 such that $K(\mathbf{s}N) = 0$, and such that the induced coderivation $\delta_{K+d_{sN}}$ of $\overline{T}^c(sA)$-dg comodule squares to 0.

Proposition 2.5.2. [12, Proposition 3.4] *If F is an $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-morphism from A_1 to A_2 then A_2 is an $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-A_1-module.*

The structure goes as follows: if $\tilde{F}$ denotes the dg coalgebra map $T^c(\mathbf{s}A_1) \to T^c(\mathbf{s}A_2)$ induced by F, and φ_2 denotes the $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-structure on A_2, then K is obtained as the composite:

$$K = \varphi_2(\tilde{F} \otimes 1_{\mathbf{s}A_2} \otimes \tilde{F}) \tag{7}$$

Proposition 2.5.3. *If F is an $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-morphism from an $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-algebra A to a differential graded associative algebra (R, μ, d_R), then the structure of $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-A-module over R takes the following form: for $\underline{a}, \underline{b} \in \overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A)$ and $r \in \mathbf{s}R$*

$$\begin{aligned} K(\underline{a} \otimes r) &= \partial_2(F(\underline{a}), r) \\ K(m \otimes \underline{b}) &= \partial_2(r, F(\underline{b})) \\ K(\underline{a} \otimes r \otimes \underline{b}) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\partial_2(\mathbf{s} \otimes \mathbf{s}) = \mathbf{s}\mu$.

Proof. Since $K = \varphi_R(\tilde{F} \otimes 1_{\mathbf{s}R} \otimes \tilde{F})$ with $\tilde{F}$ the coalgebra morphism $T^c(\mathbf{s}A) \to T^c(\mathbf{s}R)$ induced by F and since $\varphi_R = \partial_2$, then $K((\mathbf{s}A)^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathbf{s}R \otimes (\mathbf{s}A)^{\otimes m}) = 0$ if $nm \neq 0$ and Equation (7) gives the result. □

Definition 2.5.4. Let (A, φ_c, d_A) be an $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-algebra and (N, K, d_N) be an $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-A-module. The Hochschild cochain complex of A with coefficients in N is

$$CH(A; N) = (\mathrm{Hom}(T^c(\mathbf{s}A), \mathbf{s}N), \mathbf{d}_H)$$

where

$$\mathbf{d}_H(\psi) = (K + d_{\mathbf{s}N}) \circ \psi - (-1)^{|\psi|} \psi \circ (\varphi_c + d_A)$$

Remark 2.5.5. The Hochschild cochain complex so defined coincide (up to a regrading) with the usual Hochschild cochain complex, that is,

(a) If A is an associative algebra and N is a bimodule, then $CH(A; N)$ is the Hochschild cochain complex of A with coefficients in N. Indeed for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$CH^n(A; N) = (\mathrm{Hom}_n(T^c(\mathbf{s}A), \mathbf{s}N) = \mathrm{Hom}(A^{\otimes(1-n)}, N).$$

(b) If A is an $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-algebra then A is an $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-A-module and $CH(A; A)$ is the Hochschild cochain complex of the $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-algebra A. We denote by $\overline{CH}(A; A) = (\mathrm{Hom}(\overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A), \mathbf{s}A), \mathbf{d}_H)$ the reduced Hochschild cochain complex.

2.6. **The deformation complex of an $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$-algebra.** Recall from Proposition 2.4.4 that an $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$-algebra structure on (A,V) amounts to

(a) (A,φ_c,d_A) is an $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-algebra and $\varphi_c : \overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}A) \to \mathbf{s}A$ is the structure map.
(b) $R = \mathrm{End}^+(V)$ is a differential graded associative algebra with differential $d_{\mathrm{End}^+(V)}$ and product μ. The associated $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-structure map $\partial_2 : \overline{T}^c(\mathbf{s}R) \to \mathbf{s}R$ is defined by $\partial_2(\mathbf{s}\otimes\mathbf{s}) = \mathbf{s}\mu$.
(c) There is an $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-map from A to R defined by $F : T^c(\mathbf{s}A) \to \mathbf{s}R$ and we denote by $\varphi_o = s^{-1}F$ the open part of the Maurer-Cartan element defining the $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$-structure.

Moreover Proposition 2.5.3 gives the $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-A-module structure on R. In this context, one has

Proposition 2.6.1. *The Hochschild cochain complex $(CH(A,\mathrm{End}^+(V)),\mathbf{d}_H)$ takes the following form*

$$\mathbf{d}_H(\mathbf{s}\alpha) = -\mathbf{s}(d_{\mathrm{End}^+(V)}\alpha - (-1)^{|\alpha|}\alpha\circ(\varphi_c + d_{\mathbf{s}A}) + \varphi_o * \alpha - (-1)^{|\alpha|}\alpha * \varphi_o).$$

Proof. Since $\mathrm{End}^+(V)$ is a differential graded associative algebra one has from Proposition 2.5.3

$$K\circ\psi = \partial_2(F\otimes\psi)\Delta' + \partial_2(\psi\otimes F)\Delta' \tag{8}$$

Let us compute

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{d}_H(\mathbf{s}\alpha) &= (K + d_{\mathbf{s}R})\circ\mathbf{s}\alpha - (-1)^{|\mathbf{s}\alpha|}\mathbf{s}\alpha\circ(\varphi_c + d_{\mathbf{s}A}) = \\ &\quad - \mathbf{s}(d_R\alpha - (-1)^{|\alpha|}\alpha\circ(\varphi_c + d_{\mathbf{s}A})) + \partial_2(\mathbf{s}\varphi_o\otimes\mathbf{s}\alpha)\Delta' + \partial_2(\mathbf{s}\alpha\otimes\mathbf{s}\varphi_o)\Delta' = \\ &- \mathbf{s}(d_R\alpha - (-1)^{|\alpha|}\alpha\circ(\varphi_c + d_{\mathbf{s}A})) - \partial_2(\mathbf{s}\otimes\mathbf{s})(\varphi_o\otimes\alpha)\Delta' + (-1)^{|\alpha|}\partial_2(\mathbf{s}\otimes\mathbf{s})(\alpha\otimes\varphi_o)\Delta' = \\ &\quad - \mathbf{s}(d_R\alpha - (-1)^{|\alpha|}\alpha\circ(\varphi_c + d_{\mathbf{s}A}) + \varphi_o * \alpha - (-1)^{|\alpha|}\alpha * \varphi_o).\end{aligned}$$

□

Notation 2.6.2. Let $(\overline{CH}(A,\mathrm{End}^+(V)),\mathbf{d}_H)$ be the subcomplex of $(CH(A,\mathrm{End}^+(V)),\mathbf{d}_H)$ formed by the maps $\psi : T^c(sA) \to \mathbf{s}\mathrm{End}^+(V)$ such that $\psi(\mathbf{k}) \subset \mathbf{s}\mathrm{End}(V)$.

Theorem 2.6.3. *Let (A,V) be an $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$-algebra. The deformation complex* $\mathrm{Def}(A,V)$ *is the mapping cone of the map*

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{F} : (\overline{CH}(A;A),\mathbf{d}_H) &\to (\overline{CH}(A,\mathrm{End}^+(V)),\mathbf{d}_H)\\ \psi_c &\mapsto F\circ\psi_c\end{aligned}$$

where F is the $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-map from A to $\mathrm{End}^+(V)$.

Proof. Recall from Notation 2.3.6 that $\mathrm{Def}(A,V) = \overline{CH}(A,A)\times\mathbf{s}^{-1}\overline{CH}(A,\mathrm{End}^+(V))$. Recall also that the differential on $\mathrm{Def}(A,V)$ is given by

$$\delta_\varphi\psi = [\mathbf{d}+\varphi,\psi]$$

where $\varphi = (\varphi_c,\varphi_o)$ with φ_c the $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-structure on A and $\mathbf{s}\varphi_o$ the $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-map from A to $\mathrm{End}^+(V)$. Let $\psi = (\psi_c,\mathbf{s}^{-1}\psi_o)$ be in $\mathrm{Def}(A,V)$. It is clear that $(\delta_\varphi\psi)_c = d_H\psi_c$. Computing $(\delta_\varphi\psi)_o$ with Relations (6) gives

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathbf{d}+\varphi,\psi]_o =& d_{\mathrm{End}^+(V)}\mathbf{s}^{-1}\psi_o - (-1)^{|\psi|}\mathbf{s}^{-1}\psi_o\circ(d_{\mathbf{s}A}+\varphi_c)\\ &+\varphi_o\circ\psi_c+\varphi_o*\mathbf{s}^{-1}\psi_o-(-1)^{|\psi|}\mathbf{s}^{-1}\psi_o*\varphi_o\\ =&-\mathbf{s}^{-1}\mathbf{d}_H(\psi_o)+\mathbf{s}^{-1}F\circ\psi_c.\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, the differential in $\mathrm{Def}(A,V)$ coincides with the one of the mapping cone construction of the map $\hat{F}$, providing $\hat{F}$ is a morphism in **dgvs**. Since (A,V) is an $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$-algebra, then $\partial_\varphi^2=0$ and $\hat{F}$ is a morphism in **dgvs**. □

Remark 2.6.4. As pointed out by Kontsevich and Soibelman in [20] in the case of $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-algebra, Theorem 2.6.3 states that the deformation of an $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$-algebra (A,V) is controlled by the truncated complex of the mapping cone of the map $\hat{F}$ from the Hochschild complex of A to the Hochschild complex of A with coefficients in $\mathrm{End}^+(V)$.

2.7. **On the deformation complex of an operad under $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$.** Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a non-symmetric differential graded $\{c,o\}$-operad $\mathcal{P}$, together with an operad morphism

$$\eta:(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty\to\mathcal{P}.$$

From [22], there is a sequence of isomorphisms in **dgvs**

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{dgop}((\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty,\mathcal{P})=\mathrm{Hom}_{dgop}(\Omega(\mathbf{sc}_1^{\mathrm{i}}),\mathcal{P})\simeq Tw(\mathbf{sc}_1^{\mathrm{i}},\mathcal{P}),$$

where a twisting cochain is a map α of degree -1 satisfying $d_{\mathcal{P}}\alpha+\alpha\circ\alpha=0$. The description of the cooperad $\mathbf{sc}_1^{\mathrm{i}}$ in Equation (1) implies that

$$\mathrm{Def}(\mathcal{P},\eta)=\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{sc}_1^{\mathrm{i}},\mathcal{P})=\prod_{n\geq1}s^{1-n}\mathcal{P}(c^{\times n};c)\prod_{m\geq1}s^{-m}\mathcal{P}(c^{\times m};o)\prod_{p\geq0}s^{-p}\mathcal{P}(c^{\times p},o;o)$$

is a graded pre-Lie algebra, where the pre-Lie product is induced by the one defined in Section 1.2.6. Indeed, with the notation of Section 1.2.5, one has

$$\mathrm{Def}(\mathcal{P},\eta)=\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{sc}_1^{\mathrm{i}},\mathcal{P})=\prod_{n\geq1}\Lambda_c\mathcal{P}(c^{\times n};c)\prod_{m\geq1}\Lambda_c\mathcal{P}(c^{\times m};o)\prod_{p\geq0}\Lambda_c\mathcal{P}(c^{\times p},o;o).$$

Let us write $\mathrm{Def}(\mathcal{P},\eta)$ as $\mathcal{D}_c^{\mathcal{P}}\times\mathcal{D}_o^{\mathcal{P}}$ where

$$\mathcal{D}_c^{\mathcal{P}}=\prod_{n\geq1}\Lambda_c\mathcal{P}(c^{\times n};c)\text{ and }\mathcal{D}_o^{\mathcal{P}}=\prod_{m\geq1}\Lambda_c\mathcal{P}(c^{\times m};o)\prod_{p\geq0}\Lambda_c\mathcal{P}(c^{\times p},o;o)$$

Furthermore the operad morphism $\eta:(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty\to\mathcal{P}$ yields a twisting element, also denoted $\eta=(\eta_c,\eta_o)$, in $\mathrm{Def}(\mathcal{P},\eta)_{-1}$ such that $d_{\mathcal{P}}(\eta)+\eta\circ\eta=0$. This equality decomposes into

$$\begin{aligned}d_{\mathcal{P}}(\eta_c)+\eta_c\circ\eta_c&=0\\ d_{\mathcal{P}}(\eta_o)+\eta_o\circ\eta_c+\eta_o\circ\eta_o&=0\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, $\delta_\eta=d_{\mathcal{P}}+[\eta,-]$ defines a differential on $\mathrm{Def}(\mathcal{P},\eta)$ and $(\mathrm{Def}(\mathcal{P},\eta),\delta_\eta)$ is called the deformation complex of $\mathcal{P}$. For $\psi=(\psi_c,\psi_o)\in\mathrm{Def}(\mathcal{P},\eta)$, one gets

$$\delta_\eta(\psi)=(d_{\mathcal{P}}\psi_c+[\eta_c,\psi_c],d_{\mathcal{P}}\psi_o+[\eta,\psi_o]+\eta_o\circ\psi_c).$$

It is clear that the closed part of the deformation complex has for differential δ_{η_c} and so coincides with the Hochschild cochain complex of the operad $\mathcal{P}(-;c)$ under the operad $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$

(a generalization of the Hochschild cochain complex of a multiplicative operad defined by V. Turchin in [26])

Theorem 2.7.1. *The open part $\mathcal{D}_o^{\mathcal{P}}$ of the deformation complex of the operad $\mathcal{P}$ is endowed with the following differential: $\mathrm{d}_H(\psi_o) = d_{\mathcal{P}}\psi_o + [\eta, \psi_o]$. As a consequence, the deformation complex of the operad $\mathcal{P}$ is the mapping cone of the map*

$$\begin{array}{rccc} F: & (\mathcal{D}_c^{\mathcal{P}}, \delta_{\eta_c}) & \to & (\mathbf{s}\mathcal{D}_o^{\mathcal{P}}, -\mathbf{s}\mathrm{d}_H\mathbf{s}^{-1}) \\ & \psi_c & \mapsto & \mathbf{s}\eta_o \circ \psi_c \end{array}$$

Proof. Let us prove that d_H is a differential and that F commutes with the differentials. We already know that $(\delta_\eta)^2 = 0$ which implies that for any (ψ_c, ψ_o), one has

$$\mathrm{d}_H(\mathrm{d}_H(\psi_o)) + d_H(\eta_o \circ \psi_c) + \eta_o \circ (\delta_{\eta_c}\psi_c) = 0.$$

Since it is true for any pair, we can choose $\psi_c = 0$ which implies that $d_H^2 = 0$ or we can choose $\psi_o = 0$ which implies that F is a differential graded morphism. □

Remark 2.7.2. In case (A, V) is an $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$-algebra, the operad of endomorphism of the 2-colored dgvs (A, V) is an operad under $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$ and we recover the deformation complex of the previous section.

3. An operad acting on the deformation complex

In this section we build the $\{c, o\}$-colored operad $\mathcal{RB}$ called the relative brace operad and prove that it acts on the deformation complex of an operad $\mathcal{P}$ under $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$. Before going through the description by planar trees, let us explain algebras over it.

An algebra (A, R) over $\mathcal{RB}$ is a dgvs where A is a B_∞-algebra (in the sense of Baues in [3]) of a certain kind (it is a Brace algebra in the sense of Dolgushev-Willwacher in [10]), R is a differential graded algebra and A acts on R. More precisely the pair $(\mathbf{B}A = T^c(\mathbf{s}A), \mathbf{B}R = T^c(\mathbf{s}R))$ is a differential graded $\mathbf{sc}_1$-algebra in the category of free conilpotent coassociative coalgebras, with some extra properties. Namely an $\mathcal{RB}$-algebra (A, R) amounts to the following data:

• $(\mathbf{B}A, M, \delta)$ is a differential graded bialgebra, where the multiplication M, is uniquely determined by degree 0 maps $M_{p,q} : (\mathbf{s}A)^{\otimes p} \otimes (\mathbf{s}A)^{\otimes q} \to \mathbf{s}A$; we ask that $M_{p,q} = 0$ for $p \geq 2$, that is, the family of maps restricts to the family $M_{1,q} : \mathbf{s}A \otimes (\mathbf{s}A)^{\otimes q} \to \mathbf{s}A$ and $M_{1,0} = M_{0,1} = Id$ and $M_{0,q} = 0, q \neq 1$.

• $(\mathbf{B}R, \partial)$ is a differential graded coalgebra such that $\partial = d_{\mathbf{s}R} + \partial_2$, that is, R is a differential graded associative algebra.

• $\mathbf{B}R$ is a right differential graded $\mathbf{B}A$-module in the category of dg-coalgebras with structure map $K = \mathbf{B}R \otimes \mathbf{B}A \to \mathbf{B}R$. This structure is uniquely determined by degree 0 maps $K_{p,q} : (\mathbf{s}R)^{\otimes p} \otimes (\mathbf{s}A)^{\otimes q} \to \mathbf{s}R$; we ask that $K_{p,q} = 0$ for $p \notin \{0, 1\}$, that is, the family of maps restricts to the families

$$\begin{array}{rccccc} K_{1,q}: & \mathbf{s}R & \otimes & (\mathbf{s}A)^{\otimes q} & \to & \mathbf{s}R, \quad \text{with } K_{1,0} = Id \\ K_{0,q}: & \mathbf{k} & \otimes & (\mathbf{s}A)^{\otimes q} & \to & \mathbf{s}R, \quad \text{with } K_{0,0} = 0. \end{array}$$

The action of the algebra $\mathbf{B}A$ reads, $\forall r \in \mathbf{B}R, \forall a_1, a_2 \in \mathbf{B}A$

$$K(r, M(a_1, a_2)) = K(K(r, a_1), a_2) \qquad \partial K = K(\partial \otimes Id + Id \otimes \delta)$$

• Notice that the family $(K_{0,q})_{q\geq 1}$ gives rise to a map of dgcoalgebras $K_0 : \mathbf{B}A \to \mathbf{B}R$, that is, K_0 defines an $\mathcal{A}s_\infty$-map from A to R, satisfying in addition

$$K_0(M(a_1, a_2)) = K(K_0(a_1), a_2), \forall a_1, a_2 \in \mathbf{B}A.$$

• In the sequel we will make use of the maps $G_n = \mathbf{s}^{-1}K_{0,n} : (\mathbf{s}A)^{\otimes n} \to R$ of degree -1 and $\Gamma_{1,q} = R \otimes (\mathbf{s}A)^{\otimes q} \to R$ of degree 0 defined by

$$\Gamma_{1,q}(r \otimes \underline{a}) = \mathbf{s}^{-1}K_{1,q}(\mathbf{s}r \otimes \underline{a}).$$

In order to avoid signs in the construction we will describe the operad $\Lambda_c\mathcal{RB}$ so that an $\mathcal{RB}$-structure on the pair of dgvs (A, R) is equivalent to a $\Lambda_c\mathcal{RB}$-structure on the pair of dgvs $(\mathbf{s}A, R)$.

3.1. **Definition of the operad of relative braces $\mathcal{RB}$.** The $\{c, o\}$-colored operad $\Lambda_c\mathcal{RB}$ is described as the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module generated by a set $\mathcal{T}$ of planar rooted trees and words of planar rooted trees. Except for the root edge, every edge of the trees considered has two adjacent vertices (there is no half-edges). Trees are represented with the root at the bottom. Reading a tree from top to bottom, a vertex has ingoing edges, called *inputs* of the vertex and one outgoing edge called *the output* of the vertex. The set $In(v)$ of ingoing edges of a vertex v is totally ordered, by reading the tree from left to right. The *leaves* of a tree are its extremal vertices, in particular leaves have no ingoing edges.

Reading a planar tree T from bottom to top and left to right gives a total order on the vertices of the tree T, called the *canonical order* of the vertices. Assume $v_1 < \ldots < v_n$ is the canonical order in the tree T. Later on we will define degrees of vertices. The sub-$\mathbb{Z}$-module of the free graded commutative $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra $S(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ generated by polynomials containing each v_i exactly once is free of rank 1 and denoted $\det(T)$; $\epsilon_T = v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_n \in \det(T)$ is our choice of generator. By abuse of notation, we will often write ϵ_T as $w_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge w_s$ where $w_1 < \ldots < w_s$ are the vertices of degree $\neq 0$. Similarly if v_i has degrree 0, $\epsilon_{T\setminus\{v_i\}}$ corresponds to $v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{v_i} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_n$.

3.1.1. *On vertices.* There are different kind of vertices: the round-shaped vertices model operations having a closed output, whereas the square-shaped vertices model operations having an open output. Moreover:

- The neutral round-shaped vertex ● may have $n \geq 2$ inputs and model the operations $\delta_n : (\mathbf{s}A)^{\otimes n} \to \mathbf{s}A$. It has degree -1.
- The round-shaped vertex ○ is called a closed vertex and is labeled. It has degree 0. A corolla of $n+1$ closed vertices labeled by $\{1, \ldots, n+1\}$ model the operations $M_{1,n} : \mathbf{s}A \otimes (\mathbf{s}A)^{\otimes n} \to \mathbf{s}A$.
- The neutral square-shaped vertex ■ may have $n \geq 1$ inputs and model the operations $G_n : (\mathbf{s}A)^{\otimes n} \to R$. It has degree -1.
- The square-shaped vertex □ is called an open vertex and is labeled. It has degree 0. The corolla having n closed leaves and an open root model the operation $\Gamma_{1,n} : R \otimes (\mathbf{s}A)^{\otimes n} \to R$.

3.1.2. *The closed part of the sets $\mathcal{T}$.* Let $I = (s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ be a sequence in $\{c, o\}$ and $x \in \{c, o\}$. The $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\Lambda_c\mathcal{RB}(I; x)$ is freely generated by the set $\mathcal{T}(I; x)$.

For $x = c$, if there exists i such that $s_i = o$ then this set is empty. Otherwise $\mathcal{T}(c^{\times n}; c)$ is the set of planar trees with round-shaped vertices, having n closed vertices labeled by

$\{1,\dots,n\}$ and we denote by β_T : {closed vertices} $\to \{1,\dots,n\}$ the bijection defining the labeling. By definition

$$\Lambda_c\mathcal{RB}(c^{\times n};c) = \bigoplus_{T\in\mathcal{T}(c^{\times n};c)} \mathbb{Z}T\otimes \det(T),$$

is a graded $\mathbb{Z}$-module, the grading being given by $\det(T)$.

3.1.3. *The open part of the sets $\mathcal{T}$.* As in [24] we associate to the sequence $I=(s_1,\dots,s_n)$ the labels $(l_1,\dots,l_n)$ defined by $l_i=i$ if $s_i=c$ and $l_i=\underline{i}$ if $s_i=o$. We denote by L_c the set $\{l_i|s_i=c\}$ and by L_o the set $\{l_i|s_i=o\}$.

An element in $\mathcal{T}(I;o)$ is a word of planar rooted trees $W=T_1\cdots T_r$, where the trees T_j have a square-shaped root, either neutral or open and labeled. There is a bijection between the set of open roots and L_o and the open roots are labeled according to this bijection. The other vertices are round-shaped vertices. There is a bijection between the set of closed vertices and L_c and the closed vertices are labeled accordingly. Let β_W : {closed vertices} $\sqcup$ {open vertices} $\to L_c\sqcup L_o$ denote the bijection. By definition $\det(W)=\det(T_1)\otimes\ldots\otimes\det(T_r)$ and

$$\Lambda_c\mathcal{RB}(I;o) = \bigoplus_{W\in\mathcal{T}(I;o)} \mathbb{Z}W\otimes \det(W),$$

is a graded $\mathbb{Z}$-module, the grading being given by $\det(W)$. By abuse of notation we say that a word of trees W has degree $-p$ if it has p neutral vertices.

3.1.4. *Action of the symmetric group.* Given $\sigma\in\Sigma_n$, let us describe the action map $\mathcal{T}(s_1,\dots,s_n;x)\to \mathcal{T}(s_{\sigma(1)},\dots,s_{\sigma(n)};x)$. Let L^σ denote the labels associated to the sequence $(s_{\sigma(1)},\dots,s_{\sigma(n)})$. Hence σ determines a bijection

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \rho_\sigma: & L_c\sqcup L_o & \to & L_c^\sigma\sqcup L_o^\sigma \\ & i\in L_c & \mapsto & \sigma^{-1}(i)\in L_c^\sigma \\ & \underline{i}\in L_o & \mapsto & \underline{\sigma^{-1}(i)}\in L_o^\sigma \end{array}$$

For $W\in\mathcal{T}(s_1,\dots,s_n;x)$ the element $W\cdot\sigma\in\mathcal{T}(s_{\sigma(1)},\dots,s_{\sigma(n)};x)$ is the same tree or word of trees than W with the labeling: $\beta_{W\cdot\sigma}=\rho_\sigma\beta_W$.

3.1.5. *The operad structure.* We follow closely the definition of the minimal operad M of Kontsevich and Soibelman in [20], except for the signs. To a tree T in $\mathcal{T}(I;x)$ we associate the ordered set of its angles $A(T)$. To a word $W=T_1\cdots T_r$ of rooted trees the ordered set of its angles $A(W)$ is the ordered set $A(T_1)\cup\ldots\cup A(T_r)$ where for $x\in A(T_i)$ and $y\in A(T_j)$, one has $i<j \implies x<y$.

Let $W\in\mathcal{T}(s_1,\dots,s_n;x)$ and $X\in\mathcal{T}(J;s_i)$; let v be the vertex in W labeled by l_i; the operadic composition in $\mathcal{RB}$ takes the following form

$$(W\otimes\epsilon_W)\circ_v(X\otimes\epsilon_X) = \sum_{f:In(v)\to A(X)} (W\circ_v^f X)\otimes\epsilon_{W\setminus\{v\}}\wedge\epsilon_X,$$

where f runs over nondecreasing maps from $In(v)\to A(X)$. The word $W\circ_v^f X$ is obtained from W and X by substituting X for the vertex $v\in W$ and by attaching the inputs of v to the vertices of X according to the function f.



FIGURE 1. Generators of $\mathcal{RB}$.

Notice that if $X = U_1 \cdots U_q$ is a word of trees, then necessarily the vertex v of W is a square-shaped vertex, thus a root. Writing $W = T_1 \dots T_r$ where v is the root of T_j for some j, we can then replace the root v by the word $U_1 \cdots U_q$.

Below we give examples of composition. In the first example we don't precise the signs of the trees since there is only one neutral vertex. In the second example the vertices e and f are the only ones of degree -1.



The fact that these compositions endow $\Lambda_c\mathcal{RB}$ with a $\{c, o\}$-colored operad structure is a consequence of the computation in [20].

3.1.6. *The differential.* In addition $\Lambda_c\mathcal{RB}$ is a differential graded operad and we describe the differential in this section. Let $W \in \mathcal{T}(I; x)$ and let $\epsilon_W = v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_n$ where $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ is the set of vertices of W. The differential is described as follows

$$d_{\Lambda_c\mathcal{RB}}(W \otimes \epsilon_W) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |v_j|} d_{v_i}(W) \otimes (v_1 \wedge \ldots v_{i-1} \wedge v_i^1 \wedge v_i^2 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_n),$$

where $d_{v_i}(W)$ is a sum of word of trees depending on the nature of the vertex v_i and v_i^1, v_i^2 are new vertices obtained from a blow-up of v_i. We have four type of vertices to consider. For the neutral round-shaped vertices one has

(9) $$d_v\Bigg($$  $$\Bigg) = -\sum_{\substack{1\le i<i+j\le k\\ j\le k-2}}$$ 

For the closed vertices one has

(10) $$d_v\Bigg($$  $$\Bigg) = -\sum_{\substack{1\le i+1,\\ j-1\le k,\\ i<j}}$$  $$+\sum_{1\le i<i+j\le k}$$  .

For the open vertices one has

(11) $$d_v\Bigg($$  $$\Bigg) = +\sum_{1\le i<i+j\le k}$$ 

$$+\sum_{0\le i<k}$$  $$-\sum_{0<i\le k}$$ 

and

(12) $$d_v\Bigg($$  $$\Bigg) = -\sum_{1\le i<i+j\le k}$$  $$-\sum_{0<i<k}$$ 

We refer to Appendix A for details on the generating operations, their differential and compositions.

For later use, let us introduce the following notation for these blow-ups. Let v be a vertex of a tree T. For v a neutral round-shaped vertex, one writes

$$d_v(T) = - \sum_{\substack{1\leq i<i+j\leq k \\ j\leq k-2}} d_v^{[i,i+j]}(T), \tag{9b}$$

for the blow-up (9). For v a closed vertex, one writes

$$d_v(T) = - \sum_{\substack{i,j\in\{0,\ldots,k\}, \\ j<j+1<\ldots<k<0<1<\ldots<i}} d_v^{[j,i]}(T) + \sum_{1\leq i<i+j\leq k} d_v^{[i,i+j]}(T), \tag{10b}$$

for the blow-up (10), where the interval $[j,i]$ is thought of as an interval of a cyclic ordered set, as in Definition 4.2.5. For v an open vertex, one writes

$$d_v(T) = \sum_{1\leq i<i+j\leq k} d_v^{[i,i+j]}(T) + \sum_{0\leq i<k} d_v^{[i+1,\mathrm{right}]}(T) - \sum_{0<i\leq k} d_v^{[\mathrm{left},i]}(T), \tag{11b}$$

for the blow-up (11). For v a neutral square-shaped vertex, one writes

$$d_v(T) = - \sum_{1\leq i<i+j\leq k} d_v^{[i,i+j]}(T) - \sum_{1\leq i<k} d_v^{[\mathrm{left},i]}(T), \tag{12b}$$

for the blow-up (12).

Convention 3.1.1. The two operads $\Lambda_c\mathcal{RB}$ and $\mathcal{RB}$ only differ by gradings and signs in the composition and differential. By abuse of notation, the generators ∂_k, G_k, $M_{1,k}$ and $\Gamma_{1,k}$ will be also considered as generators in $\mathcal{RB}$ of degree $k-2$, $k-1$, k and k respectively.

3.2. **The deformation complex as an $\mathcal{RB}$-algebra.**

Theorem 3.2.1. *Let $\eta : (\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty \to \mathcal{P}$ be an operad morphism. The operad $\mathcal{RB}$ acts on the deformation complex* $\mathrm{Def}(\mathcal{P},\eta)$.

Proof. The deformation complex $\mathrm{Def}(\mathcal{P},\eta)$ writes as $\mathcal{D}_c^{\mathcal{P}} \times \mathcal{D}_o^{\mathcal{P}}$ with

$$\mathcal{D}_c^{\mathcal{P}} = \prod_{n\geq 1} \Lambda_c\mathcal{P}(c^{\times n}; c) \text{ and } \mathcal{D}_o^{\mathcal{P}} = \prod_{m\geq 1} \Lambda_c\mathcal{P}(c^{\times m}; o) \prod_{p\geq 0} \Lambda_c\mathcal{P}(c^{\times p}, o; o)$$

We denote by $\eta : (\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty \to \mathcal{P}$ the operad map as well as the twisting element in $\mathrm{Def}(\mathcal{P},\eta)$. We recall that $\mathcal{D}_c^{\mathcal{P}}$ is endowed with the differential $\delta_{\eta_c} = d_{\mathcal{P}} + [\eta_c, -]$

Indeed we will describe an action of $\Lambda_c\mathcal{RB}$ on $\mathrm{Def}(\mathcal{P},\eta)$.

First step: the closed part

Since $\Lambda_c\mathcal{P}(-; c)$ is an operad we know from Gerstenhaber and Voronov in [11] that $\mathcal{D}_c^{\mathcal{P}}$ is endowed with brace operations

$$\begin{aligned} M_{1,n} : \mathcal{D}_c^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \mathcal{D}_c^{\mathcal{P}^{\otimes n}} &\to \mathcal{D}_c^{\mathcal{P}} \\ x \otimes y_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes y_n &\mapsto x\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\} \end{aligned}$$

defining an associative product which is a morphism of coalgebras

$$\begin{aligned} M : T^c(\mathcal{D}_c^{\mathcal{P}}) \otimes T^c(\mathcal{D}_c^{\mathcal{P}}) &\to T^c(\mathcal{D}_c^{\mathcal{P}}) \\ a \otimes b &\mapsto a * b \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$M_{1,1}(a,b) = a\{b\} = a \circ b.$$

The differential of the operad $\Lambda_c\mathcal{P}$ can be extended as a coderivation of the coalgebra $T^c(\mathcal{D}_c^{\mathcal{P}})$, denoted by $d_{\mathcal{P}}$. We claim that the map

$$\delta_c : T^c(\mathcal{D}_c^{\mathcal{P}}) \to T^c(\mathcal{D}_c^{\mathcal{P}})$$

defined by

$$\delta_c(a) = d_{\mathcal{P}}a + \eta_c * a - (-1)^{|a|}a * \eta_c$$

is a coderivation of cofree coalgebras, satisfies $\delta_c^2 = 0$ so that $(T^c(\mathcal{D}_c^{\mathcal{P}}), M, \delta_c)$ is a differential graded bialgebra.

The ingredients to prove that claim are the following. If $a, b \in \mathcal{D}_c^{\mathcal{P}}$ then $a * b = a \circ b + a \otimes b + (-1)^{|a||b|}b \otimes a$. Since $\eta_c \in \mathcal{D}_c^{\mathcal{P}}$ is of degree -1 the relation $d_{\mathcal{P}}\eta_c + \eta_c \circ \eta_c = 0$ writes $d_{\mathcal{P}}\eta_c + \eta_c * \eta_c = 0$. This proves that $\delta_c^2 = 0$. Furthermore η_c is a primitive element in $T^c(\mathcal{D}_c^{\mathcal{P}})$ which implies that δ_c is a coderivation. Finally the associativity of the product M implies that δ is a derivation with respect to M.

Notice that is $a \in \mathcal{D}_c^{\mathcal{P}}$ then $\delta_c(a) = d_{\mathcal{P}}a + \eta_c \circ a - (-1)^{|a|}a \circ \eta_c = \delta_{\eta_c}(a)$.

Second step: the open part

The definition of the product $\circ$ given in Section 1.2.6 for a colored operad implies that given two elements a, b in $\mathcal{D}_o^{\mathcal{P}}$ the product $a \circ b$ is indeed associative, because it consists in grafting b into the only, if exists, open part of a.

In Theorem 2.7.1 we have proved that $\mathcal{D}_o^{\mathcal{P}}$ is endowed with the differential

$$d_H(a) = d_{\mathcal{P}}a - (-1)^{|a|}a \circ \eta_c + \eta_o \circ a - (-1)^{|a|}a \circ \eta_o.$$

A short computation shows that $d_H(a \circ b) = d_H(a) \circ b + (-1)^{|a|}a \circ d_H(b)$ making $\mathcal{D}_o^{\mathcal{P}}$ into a dg associative algebra.

We denote by δ_o the induced square zero coderivation on $T^c(\mathbf{s}\mathcal{D}_o^{\mathcal{P}})$.

The degree 0 maps

$$K_{1,q} : \mathbf{s}\mathcal{D}_o^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes (\mathcal{D}_c^{\mathcal{P}})^{\otimes q} \to \mathbf{s}\mathcal{D}_o^{\mathcal{P}},$$
$$K_{0,q} : (\mathcal{D}_c^{\mathcal{P}})^{\otimes q} \to \mathbf{s}\mathcal{D}_o^{\mathcal{P}},$$

are obtained from the degree 0 maps

$$\Gamma_{1,q} : \mathcal{D}_o^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes (\mathcal{D}_c^{\mathcal{P}})^{\otimes q} \to \mathcal{D}_o^{\mathcal{P}}$$
$$x \otimes y_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes y_q \mapsto x\{y_1, \ldots, y_q\}$$

which are Gerstenhaber-Voronov brace operations and the degree -1 maps

$$G_n : (\mathcal{D}_c^{\mathcal{P}})^{\otimes n} \to \mathcal{D}_o^{\mathcal{P}}$$
$$y_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes y_n \mapsto \eta_o\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$$

That the induced morphism of coalgebras

$$K : T^c(\mathbf{s}\mathcal{D}_o^{\mathcal{P}}) \otimes T^c(\mathcal{D}_c^{\mathcal{P}}) \to T^c(\mathbf{s}\mathcal{D}_o^{\mathcal{P}})$$

satisfies

$$K(a, M(b,c)) = K(K(a,b), c))$$

is a consequence of the relations of the brace operations. The fact that K commutes with the differentials is a computation similar to the one described in Appendix A. □

The following corolla is a direct consequence of the computation of the deformation complex of an $(\mathbf{sc}_1)_\infty$-algebra in Section 2.6 together with the fact that $\mathcal{RB}$ is an $\mathcal{SC}_2$-operad (section 5) so that $H_*(\mathcal{RB}) = \mathbf{sc}_2$.

Corollary 3.2.2. *Let (A, V) be an $\mathbf{sc}_1$-algebra. The pair $(CH^*(A,A), CH^*(A, \mathrm{End}(V)^+)$ is an $\mathcal{RB}$-algebra. At the level of homology this action gives the following $\mathbf{sc}_2$-structure on $(HH^*(A,A), HH^*(A, \mathrm{End}(V)^+)$:*

(a) $HH^*(A,A)$ has its usual Gerstenhaber structure.
(b) $HH^*(A, \mathrm{End}(V)^+)$ inherits the associative structure of $\mathrm{End}(V)^+$
(c) $\varphi_* : HH^*(A,A) \to HH^*(A, \mathrm{End}(V)^+)$ is the central morphism of algebras induced by the morphism $\varphi : A \to \mathrm{End}(V)^+$.

Remark 3.2.3. Notice that if we start with an associative algebra A acting on itself on the right, it induces a morphism of algebras $\alpha : A \to \mathrm{End}(A)^{op}$. In that case Theorem 3.2.1 holds, that is, the pair $\mathrm{Def}_{\mathcal{SC}}(A) = (CH^*(A,A), CH^*(A, \mathrm{End}(A)^{op}))$ is an $\mathcal{RB}$-algebra. If A is a unital algebra then the homology of $\mathrm{Def}_{\mathcal{SC}}(A)$ is the pair $(HH^*(A,A), A)$. It is then an $\mathbf{sc}_2$-algebra where the central morphism $f : HH^*(A,A) \to A$ is given by the inclusion $Z(A) \to A$. We get then a lift of the action considered by D. Dolgushev, D. Tamarkin and B. Tsygan in [8] at the level the deformation complex $\mathrm{Def}_{\mathcal{SC}}(A)$. The cochain considered in [8] is the pair $(CH^*(A,A), A)$, which gives the same homology.

Hence, our approach is totally different in spirit. Here we consider (right) braces operations

$$\Gamma_{1,k} : CH^*(A, \mathrm{End}(A)^{op}) \otimes CH^*(A,A)^{\otimes k} \to CH^*(A, \mathrm{End}(A)^{op})$$

whereas in [8] the authors consider (left) braces operations

$$CH^*(A,A) \otimes A^{\otimes k} \to A$$

modelling the action of the operad End_A onto A. Clearly, our chain complex is not an algebra over their $\mathcal{SC}_2$-operad and their chain complex is not an algebra over $\mathcal{RB}$. Hence our solution of the Deligne's Swiss-cheese conjecture is different from [8].

4. The Operad $\mathcal{RB}$ is an SC_2 operad

In [24] a dg-operad $\mathcal{RS}$ was defined and shown to be an SC_2-operad. In this section we prove that there exists a weak equivalence of operads $\Phi : \mathcal{RB} \to \mathcal{RS}$.

In Section 4.1 we recall the operad $\mathcal{RS}$ and fix notation. In particular, we consider $\mathcal{RS}$ as generated by a set $\mathcal{T}_{As}$. We also introduce the morphism Φ. In Section 4.2 and 4.3 we describe the blow-up components of the complexes $\mathcal{RB}(I;x)$ and $\mathcal{RS}(I;x)$ in terms of *nested intervals*. In those sections, the contraction map is defined. In order to prove that Φ is a weak equivalence, we show that the complexes $\mathcal{RB}(I;x)$ and $\mathcal{RS}(I;x)$ admit a cellular decomposition (see Definition 4.4.2) over the same poset $\mathcal{T}_{As}(I;x)$. In the case of $\mathcal{RS}$, the cells involved are isomorphic to the cellular complexes of the standard simplexes. In the case of $\mathcal{RB}$ the standard simplexes are replaced by the Stasheff's polytopes [25] and the cyclohedra. With this geometric description, the morphism Φ may be seen as induced by the contraction of the Stasheff polytope onto a point. Consequently Φ sends the n-dimensional cyclohedron onto the n-simplex. This is performed combinatorially by the contraction map.

Section 4.4 is devoted to the description of the cellular decompositions and Section 4.5 is the proof that $\mathcal{RB}(I;x)$ has a cellular decomposition indexed by $\mathcal{T}_{As}(I;x)$.

4.1. **Definition of the relative surjections operad.** We define an operad $\mathcal{RS}$ which is, up to signs, the *relative surjections operad* obtained in [24] by using the filtration from Remark 3.5 in *loc. cit.*

The $\{c;o\}$-colored operad $\mathcal{RS}$ is described as the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module generated by a set $\mathcal{T}_{As}$ of planar rooted trees and words of planar rooted trees defined as follows. Let $\mathcal{T}_{2,1} \subset \mathcal{T}$ be the set of elements in $\mathcal{T}$ whose neutral round-shaped vertices have 2 inputs and neutral square-shaped vertices have one input. Let R_{As} be the equivalence relation generated by

(13)



Let us define

$$\mathcal{T}_{As} := \mathcal{T}_{2,1}/R_{As}.$$

Notice that since the neutral round-shaped and the neutral square-shaped vertices have degree 0, the relations R_{As} are homogeneous. As in Section 3.1, for $I = (s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ a sequence in $\{c, o\}$ and $x \in \{c, o\}$, the $\mathbb{Z}$-modules $\mathcal{RS}(I;x)$ and $\Lambda_c\mathcal{RS}(I;x)$ are freely generated by the set $\mathcal{T}_{As}(I;x)$. The composition and differential in $\mathcal{RS}$ and $\Lambda_c\mathcal{RS}$ only differ by signs. In order to define the differential, it is more convenient to define it for the operad $\Lambda_c\mathcal{RS}$, especially since one can forget about the sign determinant of a tree T.

Hence, let us write

$$\Lambda_c\mathcal{RS}(I;x) = \bigoplus_{[T]\in\mathcal{T}_{As}(I;x)} \mathbb{Z}[T].$$

The operadic compositions and the differential in $\Lambda_c\mathcal{RS}$ are defined in the same way as for $\Lambda_c\mathcal{RB}$ respecting the constraint of the set $\mathcal{T}_{2,1}$. Thus the blow-ups (10) and (11) are respectively replaced by

(14)



and



FIGURE 2. Example of a composition in $\Lambda_c\mathcal{RS}$.

For $T \in \mathcal{T}_{2,1}$, we denote by $d_v^i(T)$ the i-th component of the blow-up (14) or (15). Thus equations (14) and (15) are written respectively as

$$d_v(T) = -d_v^0(T) - d_v^k(T) + \sum_{1\leq i\leq k-1} d_v^i(T) \quad \text{and} \quad d_v(T) = \sum_{1\leq i\leq k-1} d_v^i(T) + d_v^k(T) - d_v^0(T).$$

Notice that the blow-up components of (14) and (15), defined on $\mathcal{T}_{2,1}$ with value in $\mathcal{T}_{2,1}$, induce blow-up components on $\mathcal{T}_{As}$. This means that, for $T, T' \in \mathcal{T}_{2,1}$ such that $[T] = [T'] \in \mathcal{T}_{As}$, one has $[d_v^i(T)] = [d_v^i(T')]$. Let us define

$$d_v^i([T]) := [d_v^i(T)] \text{ for any } T \in \mathcal{T}_{2,1}.$$

The map

$$\Phi : \mathcal{RB} \to \mathcal{RS},$$

associates to $T \in \mathcal{T}$ the element

$$\Phi(T) = \begin{cases} [T] \text{ if } T \in \mathcal{T}_{2,1}, \\ 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.1.1. *The map Φ is a morphism of dg-operads.*

Proof. Straightforward. □

4.2. **Blow-up components in $\mathcal{T}$ as nested intervals.** In [25] was given an interpretation of the $(n-k+1)$-dimensional faces of the $(n-2)$-dimensional Stasheff polytope K_n in terms of trees. With our notation, those trees may be identified to rooted planar trees with n leaves (= terminal vertices) and k neutral round-shaped vertices, that is, to iterations of blow-up components of the tree ∂_n (see Figure 1). We give a similar description of the generators $M_{1,n}$, G_n and $\Gamma_{1,n}$ as well as of their blow-up components. The two generators G_n of degree $n-1$ and $\Gamma_{1,n}$ of degree n correspond in this description to the top cell of K_{n+1} and K_{n+2} respectively. The generator $M_{1,n}$ of degree n corresponds to the top cell of the n-dimensional cyclohedron W_n.

4.2.1. *On the poset structure of $\mathcal{T}$.*

Notation 4.2.1. Let $(\mathcal{P}, \leq)$ be a partially ordered set (poset for short). Any subset S of $\mathcal{P}$ is a poset for: $a \leq b \in S \Leftrightarrow a \leq b \in \mathcal{P}$. For $a \in \mathcal{P}$, let $(\mathcal{P})_{\leq a}$ be the set of elements $b \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $b \leq a$. The meet of a and b (the greatest lower bound of the set $\{a, b\}$) is denoted by $a \wedge b$.

The product of two posets $\mathcal{P}_1$ and $\mathcal{P}_2$ is a poset for the following structure. For (a_1, a_2) and (b_1, b_2) in $\mathcal{P}_1 \times \mathcal{P}_2$, one has $(a_1, a_2) \leq (b_1, b_2) \Leftrightarrow a_1 \leq b_1$ and $a_2 \leq b_2$.

A poset $\mathcal{P}$ naturally defines a category (also denoted $\mathcal{P}$) with objects the elements of $\mathcal{P}$ and morphism $\mathcal{P}(a, b) = *$ if $a \leq b$ and $\mathcal{P}(a, b) = \emptyset$ otherwise.

Definition 4.2.2. The set $\mathcal{T}(I; x)$ has naturally a poset structure given by the blow-up components. Explicitly, $T' \leq T$ if and only if T' is obtained from T by an iteration of blow-up components of the form (9), (10), (11) or (12).

For $T \in \mathcal{T}$, let us denote by $< (\mathcal{T})_{\leq T} >$ the sub complex of $\mathcal{RB}$ generated by $(\mathcal{T})_{\leq T}$.

Proposition 4.2.3. [22, Proposition 9.2.8.] *For each $n \geq 2$, $< (\mathcal{T})_{\leq \partial_n} >$ is the cellular chain complex of K_n, $C_*^{cell}(K_n)$.*

For $n \geq 0$, let us denote by W_n the n-dimensional cyclohedron, see definition in [7].

Proposition 4.2.4. *For each $n \geq 0$, one has the following three isomorphisms.*

(a) $< (\mathcal{T})_{\leq G_{n+1}} >= C_*^{cell}(K_{n+2})$.
(b) $< (\mathcal{T})_{\leq \Gamma_{1,n}} >= C_*^{cell}(K_{n+2})$.
(c) $< (\mathcal{T})_{\leq M_{1,n}} >= C_*^{cell}(W_n)$.

Proof. a) Let

$$\natural, \natural' : (\mathcal{T})_{\leq G_n} \to (\mathcal{T})_{\leq \partial_{n+1}} \tag{16}$$

be the following maps.

For a tree $T \in (\mathcal{T})_{\leq G_n}$, one defines $\natural(T)$ (resp. $\natural'(T)$) as the tree obtained from T by:

- replacement of the neutral square-shaped vertex v by a neutral round-shaped vertex $\natural v$ (resp. $\natural' v$); and,
- grafting to $\natural v$ (resp. $\natural' v$) the unit tree $\bigcirc$ as its first (resp. last) input.

For a word $W = T_1 \cdots T_p \in (\mathcal{T})_{\leq G_n}$, one defines

$$\natural(W) := ((\cdots(\natural(T_p) \circ_{v_{min}^p} \natural(T_{p-1})) \circ_{v_{min}^{p-1}} \cdots) \circ_{v_{min}^2} \natural(T_1)),$$

where v_{min}^i denotes the first closed vertex of $\natural(T_i)$, and

$$\natural'(W) := ((\cdots(\natural'(T_1) \circ_{v_{max}^1} \natural'(T_2)) \circ_{v_{max}^2} \cdots) \circ_{v_{max}^{p-1}} \natural'(T_p)),$$

where v_{max}^i denotes the last closed vertex of $\natural'(T_i)$.

For each neutral square-shaped vertex v, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\natural \circ d_v^{[i,i+j]} &= d_{\natural v}^{[i+1,i+j+1]} \circ \natural, \\
\natural \circ d_v^{i} &= d_{\natural v}^{[1,i+1]} \circ \natural, \quad \text{for all } i, j \text{ as in (12)}, \\
\natural' \circ d_v^{[i,i+j]} &= d_{\natural' v}^{[i,i+j]} \circ \natural', \\
\natural' \circ d_v^{i} &= d_{\natural' v}^{[i+1,k+1]} \circ \natural', \quad \text{for all } i, j \text{ as in (12)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

For each neutral round-shaped vertex v, one has

$$\natural \circ d_v^{[i,i+j]} = d_v^{[i,i+j]} \circ \natural \quad \text{and} \quad \natural' \circ d_v^{[i,i+j]} = d_v^{[i,i+j]} \circ \natural' \quad \text{for all } i,j \text{ as in (9).} \tag{18}$$

It follows that $\natural$ and $\natural'$ are bijections of posets. In $\Lambda_c\mathcal{RB}$ these maps extend as

$$\natural(W \otimes \epsilon_W) = (-1)^p \natural(W) \otimes \natural\epsilon_W \text{ and}$$
$$\natural'(W \otimes \epsilon_W) = \natural'(W) \otimes \natural'\epsilon_W,$$

where W is a word of length p and $\natural\epsilon_W := v_{\text{left}} \wedge \natural v_1 \wedge ... \wedge \natural v_k$ and $\natural'\epsilon_W := \natural v_1 \wedge ... \wedge \natural v_k \wedge v_{\text{right}}$ if $\epsilon_W = v_1 \wedge ... \wedge v_k$ and v_{left} and v_{right} denote the additional closed vertex from the definitions of $\natural$ and $\natural'$.

It follows that $\natural$ induces an isomorphism of complexes

$$\natural_* : (< (\mathcal{T})_{\leq G_n} >, d_{\mathcal{RB}}) \to (< (\mathcal{T})_{\leq \partial_{n+1}} >, d_{\mathcal{RB}}).$$

The conclusion follows by Proposition 4.2.3.

b) The map

$$\flat : (\mathcal{T})_{\leq \Gamma_{1,n}} \to (\mathcal{T})_{\leq \partial_{n+2}}, \tag{19}$$

associates to a tree $T \in (\mathcal{T})_{\leq \Gamma_{1,n}}$, the element $\flat(T)$ obtained from T as follows:

- replacement of the open vertex v of T by a neutral round-shaped vertex $\flat v$; and,
- grafting two unit trees $\overset{\circ}{|}$ above $\flat v$, one as its first input, the other one as its last input.

Any word W in $(\mathcal{T})_{\leq \Gamma_{1,n}}$ writes uniquely as $W = W_l T_0 W_r$ where T_0 is an open rooted tree and W_l ad W_r are words of neutral square-shape rooted trees. We define

$$\flat(W) := \big(\flat(T_0) \circ_{v_{max}} \natural'(W_r)\big) \circ_{v_{min}} \natural(W_l),$$

where v_{min} and v_{max} denote respectively the first and last closed vertex of $\flat(T_0)$.

If v denotes the open vertex of W, then one has

$$\begin{aligned} \flat \circ d_v^{[i,i+j]} &= d_{\flat v}^{[i+1,i+j+1]} \circ \flat, \\ \flat \circ d_v^{[i+1,\text{right}]} &= d_{\flat v}^{[i+2,k+2]} \circ \flat, \\ \flat \circ d_v^{[\text{left},i]} &= d_{\flat v}^{[1,i+1]} \circ \flat, \quad \text{for all } i,j \text{ as in (11).} \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

By combining the relations (17), (18), (20) and $\flat(\Gamma_{1,n}) = \partial_{n+2}$, one obtains that $\flat$ is a bijection of posets.

Let us extend $\flat$ as a chain map. For $W = W_l T_0 W_r \in (\mathcal{T})_{\leq \Gamma_{1,n}}$, with W_l of length p, we write $\epsilon_W = \epsilon_{W_l} \wedge \epsilon_{T_0} \wedge \epsilon_{W_r}$ with $\epsilon_{T_0} = v_0 \wedge V_0$, v_0 being the root of T_0. With this notation

$$\flat_*(W \otimes \epsilon_W) := (-1)^{p+|W|} \flat(W) \otimes \flat\epsilon_W,$$

where $\flat\epsilon_W := \flat v_0 \wedge \natural\epsilon_{W_l} \wedge V_0 \wedge \natural'\epsilon_{W_r}$. It yields an isomorphism of complexes

$$\flat_* : (< (\mathcal{T})_{\leq \Gamma_{1,n}} >, d_{\mathcal{RB}}) \to (< (\mathcal{T})_{\leq \partial_{n+2}} >, d_{\mathcal{RB}}).$$

One concludes with Proposition 4.2.3.

c) From the proposition 4.2.6 below, there is a bijection $\Upsilon : \text{Nest}(S(n)) \to (\mathcal{T})_{\leq M_{1,n}}$. From Markl in [23] the poset $\text{Nest}(S(n))$ (see definition below) labels the cyclohedron W_n (see also [7]). It follows that $< (\mathcal{T})_{\leq M_{1,n}} >= C_*^{cell}(W_n)$. □

4.2.2. *The poset $\mathcal{T}$ and the blow-up components.* In what follows, we describe the posets $(\mathcal{T})_{\leq \Gamma_{1,n}}$, $(\mathcal{T})_{\leq M_{1,n}}$ and $(\mathcal{T})_{\leq G_n}$ in terms of *nested intervals*. More precisely, we prove a correspondence between nested intervals and blow-up components of vertices. Given $T \in \mathcal{T}$, we show that these nested intervals fully encode the poset $(\mathcal{T})_{\leq T}$, cf. Proposition 4.2.9.

We generalize the notation (9b), (10b), (11b) and (12b) by mean of nested intervals.

Definition 4.2.5. Let S be a totally ordered set. A *strict interval* of S is an interval which is not a singleton nor S. For $n \geq 0$ the total ordered sets $\{\text{left} < 1 < ... < n < \text{right}\}$ and $\{1 < ... < n < \text{right}\}$ are denoted respectively by $I(n)$ and $I^{right}(n)$.

Let $S(n)$ be the set $\{0, 1, ..., n\}$ endowed with the canonical cyclic order. For $i, j \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$ with $i \neq j$ the *strict interval* $[i, j]$ of $S(n)$ is either $[i, j] = \{i < i + 1 < ... < j\}$ if $i < j$ or $[i, j] = \{i < i + 1 < ... < n < 0 < 1 < ... < j - 1 < j\}$ if $i > j$. Notice that the latter interval is denoted $j)(i$ in [23].

Let S be a total ordered set or a cyclic ordered set. The power set of the set of strict intervals in S is denoted $P^{2+}(S)$. It is a poset ordered by reverse inclusion, that is, $x \leq y \Leftrightarrow y \subset x$. Any two elements $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ of $P^{2+}(S)$ have a meet which is $\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$.

An element $\mathcal{A} = \{A_t\}_{t \in K}$ of $P^{2+}(S)$ is said to be *nested* if, for each $r, s \in K$, one has $A_r \cap A_s \in \{\emptyset, A_r, A_s\}$. Let $\mathrm{Nest}(S)$ denote the set of nested elements of $P^{2+}(S)$; notice that the meet of nested elements $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is not necessarily nested.

Proposition 4.2.6. *There is a bijection of posets $\Upsilon : \mathrm{Nest}(S(n)) \to (\mathcal{T})_{\leq M_{1,n}}$.*

Proof. From a nested family $\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{Nest}(S(n))$, we can obtain a new such family by adjoining an interval $[a, b]$ satisfying the nesting condition, i.e., the interval $[a, b]$ is either contained in some interval of $\mathcal{A}$ or disjoint with any other interval $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Under this condition, $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A} \cup \{[a, b]\}$ is a new nested family.

On the other hand, any tree in $(\mathcal{T})_{\leq M_{1,n}}$ can be obtained by iterating the two types of blow-ups appearing in (10b). We recall that the leaves of $M_{1,n}$ are labelled by $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and the root is labelled by v. We will describe the correspondence Υ between including an interval in a family of $\mathrm{Nest}(S(n))$ and blowing up a tree in $(\mathcal{T})_{\leq M_{1,n}}$. If $\mathcal{A} = \emptyset$ is the empty family, then: $\Upsilon(\emptyset) = M_{1,n}$. Then we define Υ in such a way that every new interval added corresponds to a neutral vertex connected to the vertices in the associated tree that are labeled by the non-zero elements in the interval. Those vertices whose labels do not belong to any interval in the family are directly connected to the vertex v.

If $\Upsilon(\mathcal{A}) = T$, let us consider that $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A} \cup \{[\alpha, \beta]\}$ is a new nested family. The nesting condition says that the interval $[\alpha, \beta]$ is either contained or disjoint to the intervals of $\mathcal{A}$. In the case where it is disjoint, we blow up the vertex v of the tree T. In the case where $[\alpha, \beta]$ is contained in some interval, we blow up the neutral vertex of T associated to that interval. In both cases, we get a tree T'. Its new neutral vertex is joined to those vertices labeled in $[\alpha, \beta] - \{0\}$. If $0 \in [\alpha, \beta]$, then the new neutral vertex is the root of T'. We define the map Υ such that: $\Upsilon(\mathcal{A}') = T'$.

If we include more intervals in a nested family $\mathcal{A}$, we obviously get a new family $\mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, and the corresponding trees are obtained by iterated blow-ups. This shows that Υ is a map of posets. On the other hand, any tree in $(\mathcal{T})_{\leq M_{1,n}}$ is obtained by a sequence of iterated blow-ups respecting the nesting condition. This gives an inverse map to Υ. Hence, it is a bijection of posets. □

Notation 4.2.7. Fix an element $T \in \mathcal{T}$. Let v be a vertex of T. As described in Section 3.1.1, v has a certain type, denoted by c_v with $c_v \in \{\bullet, \text{c}, \blacksquare, \text{o}\}$. Let $n = In(v)$. To the vertex v is associated the set I_v and the corolla Cor_v, defined by the following

$$I_v = \begin{cases} \{1 < \ldots < n\}, & \text{if } c_v = \bullet, \\ S(n), & \text{if } c_v = \text{c}, \\ I^{\text{left}}(n), & \text{if } c_v = \blacksquare, \\ I(n), & \text{if } c_v = \text{o}, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad Cor_v = \begin{cases} \delta_n, & \text{if } c_v = \bullet, \\ M_{1,n}, & \text{if } c_v = \text{c}, \\ G_n, & \text{if } c_v = \blacksquare, \\ \Gamma_{1,n}, & \text{if } c_v = \text{o}. \end{cases}$$

Notice that I_v is a total ordered set except for $c_v = \text{c}$ where I_v is a cyclic ordered set.

Let S be a total ordered set on k letters. Let $\kappa : \mathrm{Nest}(S) \to (\mathcal{T})_{\leq \partial_k}$ be the bijection in which $\mathrm{Nest}(S)$ is identified with the poset of parenthesis of k letters. This bijection of posets induces the following ones

$$D_{c_v} : \mathrm{Nest}(I_v) \to (\mathcal{T})_{\leq \mathrm{Cor}_v}, \tag{21}$$

where $D_\bullet = \kappa$, $D_\text{o} = \flat^{-1} \circ \kappa$ and $D_\blacksquare = \natural^{-1} \circ \kappa$, with $\flat$ and $\natural$ are the bijections defined in (16) and (19) and D_c is the bijection $\Upsilon : \mathrm{Nest}(S(n)) \to (\mathcal{T})_{\leq M_{1,n}}$ from Proposition 4.2.6.

For $\mathcal{A}_v \in \mathrm{Nest}(I_v)$, let us define

$$d_v^{\mathcal{A}_v}(T) = T \circ_v^{\mathcal{T}} D_{c_v}(\mathcal{A}_v) \in \mathcal{T}, \tag{22}$$

obtained from T by substituting the vertex v by the word of trees $D_{c_v}(\mathcal{A}_v)$. (see Figure 3 for $c_v = \blacksquare$).



FIGURE 3. Composition $T \circ_v^{\mathcal{T}} T_1 T_2$.

The substitution can be performed independently for every vertex v in T, that is, $\forall v \neq w$ vertices of T, one has

$$d_w^{\mathcal{A}_w}(d_v^{\mathcal{A}_v}(T)) = d_v^{\mathcal{A}_v}(d_w^{\mathcal{A}_w}(T))$$

so that we can define $\mathrm{Nest}(T) = \prod_v \mathrm{Nest}(I_v)$ and a map

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_T^{\mathcal{T}} : \mathrm{Nest}(T) &\to (\mathcal{T})_{\leq T} \\ \mathcal{A} &\mapsto d^{\mathcal{A}}(T) := \gamma^{\mathcal{T}}(T; D_{c_{v_1}}(\mathcal{A}_{v_1}), ..., D_{c_{v_k}}(\mathcal{A}_{v_k})). \end{aligned}$$

For example,



.

Remark 4.2.8. The notation (22) matches with the previous one, that is, if $A \in \mathrm{Nest}(I_v)$ is reduced to one interval, then d_v^A corresponds to the notation introduced in equations (9b), (10b), (11b) and (12b).

Proposition 4.2.9. *For $T \in \mathcal{T}$, the map $\gamma_T^{\mathcal{T}}$ is a bijection of posets. Hence $< (\mathcal{T})_{\leq T} >$ is contractible.*

Proof. Since the maps (21) are bijection of posets, $\mathcal{A} \leq \mathcal{B}$ if and only if $D_{c_v}(\mathcal{A}) \leq D_{c_v}(\mathcal{B})$, if and only if $T \circ_v^{\mathcal{T}} D_{c_v}(\mathcal{A}) \leq T \circ_v^{\mathcal{T}} D_{c_v}(\mathcal{B})$. Thus $\gamma_T^{\mathcal{T}}$ is a bijection of posets. As a consequence $< (\mathcal{T})_{\leq T} >= \otimes_v < (\mathcal{T})_{\leq \mathrm{Cor}_v} >$ which is contractible, due to Propositions 4.2.3 and 4.2.4 □

4.2.3. *The contraction map.*

Definition 4.2.10. The contraction map $c : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}$, is defined via the following rule: merge adjacent neutral vertices into one round-shaped vertex if the two vertices are round-shaped or into one square-shaped vertex if one of them is square-shaped.

For example,



Let $\mathcal{T}_\blacksquare$ be the subset of $\mathcal{T}$ formed by words of neutral square-shaped rooted trees only. Let $\mathcal{T}_\bullet$ be the subset of $\mathcal{T}$ formed by trees T such that its canonical ordered set $v_1 < \ldots < v_k$ of vertices satisfy the following condition: there exists a closed leaf v_i such that $c_{v_j} = \bullet$ for every $j < i$.

Proposition 4.2.11. *The bijection $\natural$ defined in* (16) *extends to a bijection of posets*

$$\natural : \mathcal{T}_\blacksquare \to \mathcal{T}_\bullet,$$

commuting with c.

Proof. The construction of the map $\natural$ extends to words of neutral square-shaped rooted trees since this construction is performed on the roots only, and thus the image of $\natural$ is precisely $\mathcal{T}_\bullet$. The two inclusions $c(\mathcal{T}_\blacksquare) \subset \mathcal{T}_\blacksquare$ and $c(\mathcal{T}_\bullet) \subset \mathcal{T}_\bullet$ and the definition of c imply that $c\natural = \natural c$. □

4.3. **Blow-up components in $\mathcal{T}_{As}$ as restrictive nested intervals.**

4.3.1. *On the poset structure of $\mathcal{T}_{As}$.*

Definition 4.3.1. The set $\mathcal{T}_{As}(I; x)$ has naturally a poset structure given by the blow-up components. Explicitly, $[T'] \leq [T]$ in $\mathcal{T}_{As}(I; x)$ if $[T']$ is obtained from $[T]$ by iterations of blow-ups of the form (14) or (15) *i.e.* if

$$[T'] = d_{v_r}^{i^{r,k_r}} \cdots d_{v_2}^{i^{2,1}} d_{v_1}^{i^{1,k_1}} \cdots d_{v_1}^{i^{1,2}} d_{v_1}^{i^{1,1}}([T]) \tag{23}$$

for some v_j non neutral vertices, $i^{j,k} \geq 0$, $k_j \geq 0$ and $r \geq 0$.

For $[T] \in \mathcal{T}_{As}$, let us denote by $< (\mathcal{T}_{As})_{\leq [T]} >$ the sub complex of $\mathcal{RS}$ generated by $(\mathcal{T}_{As})_{\leq [T]}$.

Remark 4.3.2. For a fixed vertex v the components d_v^i satisfy the simplicial relations. Thus in (23), the indices $i^{s,k_s}, ..., i^{s,1}$ can be chosen such that $i^{s,k_s} \geq \cdots \geq i^{s,1}$. Moreover, for two different vertices v and w, one has $d_v^i d_w^j = d_w^j d_v^i$, $\forall i, j$.

Proposition 4.3.3. *For $n \geq 0$, one has*

$$< (\mathcal{T}_{As})_{\leq [M_{1,n}]} >= C_*^{cell}(\Delta^n) \quad \text{and} \quad < (\mathcal{T}_{As})_{\leq [\Gamma_{1,n}]} >= C_*^{cell}(\Delta^n).$$

Proof. From Remark 4.3.2 it follows that $(\mathcal{T}_{As})_{\leq [M_{1,n}]}$ is a semi-simplicial set and $< (\mathcal{T}_{As})_{\leq [M_{1,n}]} >$ is its simplicial chain complex. The first result follows from

$$\{d_v^{i_k} \cdots d_v^{i_1}([M_{1,n}])\}_{i_k \geq \cdots \geq i_1 \geq 0} = \{f : [n-k] \to [n] \mid f \text{ is an injective poset map}\}, \forall k \geq 0,$$

where v denotes the root vertex of $M_{1,n}$. The second statement is proved with the same arguments. □

Let $\mathcal{T}_{As,\blacksquare}$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}_{As,\bullet}$) be the sets $\mathcal{T}_\blacksquare \cap \mathcal{T}_{2,1}/\sim$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}_\bullet \cap \mathcal{T}_{2,1}/\sim$).

Proposition 4.3.4. *The bijection $\natural : \mathcal{T}_\blacksquare \to \mathcal{T}_\bullet$ induces a bijection*

$$\natural : \mathcal{T}_{As,\blacksquare} \to \mathcal{T}_{As,\bullet}$$

Proof. $\natural$ induces a bijection $\mathcal{T}_\blacksquare \cap \mathcal{T}_{2,1} \to \mathcal{T}_\bullet \cap \mathcal{T}_{2,1}$ of posets, compatible with the equivalence relation R_{As}. □

4.3.2. *The poset $\mathcal{T}_{As}$ and the blow-up components.*

Definition 4.3.5. We begin by considering S as a linearly ordered or a cyclicaly ordered set and let

$$F : \mathrm{Nest}(S) \to \mathrm{Nest}(S) \tag{24}$$

be the map that sends $\mathcal{A} = \{A_t\}_{t \in K}$ to the subset of its maximal elements (for the inclusion of strict intervals). Since $\mathcal{A}$ is nested, then $F\mathcal{A}$ contains disjoint strict intervals. For a tree T, one extends F to a map $F : \mathrm{Nest}(T) \to \mathrm{Nest}(T)$ defined by

$$F(\prod_v \mathcal{A}_v) = \prod_v F(\mathcal{A}_v)$$

A nested element $\mathcal{A} = \{A_t\}_{t \in K} \in \mathrm{Nest}(S)$ is said to be *restrictive* if, for each $t \in K$, either $|A_t| = 2$ or, there exists $r \in K \setminus \{t\}$ such that $A_t \setminus A_r \in \mathcal{A}$ or $A_t \setminus A_r = \{p\}$ for some $p \in S$. Let us denote by $\mathrm{Nest}_2(S)$ the subset of $\mathrm{Nest}(S)$ formed by restrictive nested elements.

Let $\mathrm{Nest}_{As}(S)$ be the following poset. As a set, one has $\mathrm{Nest}_{As}(S) := \mathrm{Nest}_2(S)/\sim$ with

$$\mathcal{A} \sim \mathcal{B} \Leftrightarrow F\mathcal{A} = F\mathcal{B},$$

The poset structure on $\mathrm{Nest}_{As}(S)$ is induced by that of $\mathrm{Nest}_2(S)$: $[\mathcal{B}] \leq [\mathcal{A}]$ if and only if for all representative set $\mathcal{A}_a$ of $[\mathcal{A}]$ there exists a representative set $\mathcal{B}_b$ of $[\mathcal{B}]$ such that $\mathcal{B}_b \leq \mathcal{A}_a$ in $\mathrm{Nest}_2(S)$.

Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{Nest}(S)$; by adding strict sub-intervals of intervals in $\mathcal{A}$ one obtains $\mathcal{A}' \in \mathrm{Nest}_2(S)$; the class $[\mathcal{A}'] \in \mathrm{Nest}_{As}(S)$ is independent from the sub-intervals added and is called the *completion of* $\mathcal{A}$ and denoted by $[\widehat{\mathcal{A}}]$.

Example 4.3.6. For $n \geq 3$, the element $\mathcal{A} = \{[\mathrm{left}, 3]\}$ is not in $\mathrm{Nest}_2(I(n))$. We can choose for representative of $[\widehat{\mathcal{A}}]$ either $\mathcal{B} = \{[\mathrm{left}, 3], [\mathrm{left}, 1], [2, 3]\}$ or $\mathcal{C} = \{[\mathrm{left}, 3], [\mathrm{left}, 2], [1, 2]\}$.

Notice that if $T \in \mathcal{T}_{2,1}$, then $\mathrm{Nest}(I_v) = \{\emptyset\}$ for every neutral vertex v of T so that

$$\mathrm{Nest}(T) = \prod_{v|c_v \in \{c,o\}} \mathrm{Nest}(I_v).$$

Restricting this set to $\prod_v \mathrm{Nest}_2(v)$ and modding out by the equivalence relation, the set $\prod_v \mathrm{Nest}_{As}(I_v)$ is independent from a representative of $[T]$ so that one can define

$$\mathrm{Nest}_{As}([T]) = \prod_v \mathrm{Nest}_{As}(I_v).$$

The bijections D_{c_v} defined in (21) restrict to poset bijections

$$D_{c_v} : \mathrm{Nest}_2(I_v) \to (\mathcal{T})_{\leq \mathrm{Cor}_v} \cap \mathcal{T}_{2,1},$$

inducing poset bijections

$$D_{c_v}^{As} : \mathrm{Nest}_{As}(I_v) \to (\mathcal{T}_{As})_{\leq [Cor_v]}.$$

Similarly, for $\mathcal{A}_v \in \mathrm{Nest}_2(T)$ the class $[d_v^{\mathcal{A}_v}(T)]$ is independent from representatives of $[T]$ and $[\mathcal{A}_v]$, hence defines maps

$$d_v^{[\mathcal{A}_v]}([T]) := [T] \circ_v^{\mathcal{T}_{As}} D_{c_v}^{As}([\mathcal{A}_v]) := [T \circ_v^{\mathcal{T}} D_{c_v}(\mathcal{A}_v)], \tag{25}$$

and

$$\gamma_{[T]}^{\mathcal{T}_{As}} : \mathrm{Nest}_{As}([T]) \to (\mathcal{T}_{As})_{\leq [T]}$$
$$[\mathcal{A}] \mapsto d^{[\mathcal{A}]}([T]) = \gamma^{\mathcal{T}_{As}}([T]; D_{c_1}([\mathcal{A}_{v_1}]), ..., D_{c_k}([\mathcal{A}_{v_k}])),$$

Remark 4.3.7. Given a closed vertex v of $[T] \in \mathcal{T}_{As}$, the blow-up $d_v^{[i,j]}([T])$ corresponds to the composition of the $j-i$ face maps, $d_v^i \cdots d_v^i([T])$.

Proposition 4.3.8. *The map $\gamma_{[T]}^{\mathcal{T}_{As}}$ is a bijection of posets. Hence $< (\mathcal{T}_{As})_{\leq [T]} >$ is contractible for every element $[T] \in \mathcal{T}_{As}$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 4.2.9 □

4.4. **Cellular decompositions.** We provide a cellular decomposition of each complex $\mathcal{RB}(I;x)$ and $\mathcal{RS}(I;x)$ over the same poset $\mathcal{T}_{As}(I;x)$.

Notation 4.4.1. The category of chain complexes of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules is denoted by $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$. We consider the projective model structure on $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$, see [17, Section 2.3], where weak equivalences are quasi-isomorphisms and cofibrations are monomorphisms with projective cokernels. A complex $C \in \mathbf{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$ is said *contractible* if it is homotopic to the trivial complex $\mathbb{Z}$.

The *$\delta_{\mathbb{Z}}$-realization* is given by $|-| := - \otimes_{\triangle} \delta_{\mathbb{Z}}$ where $\delta_{\mathbb{Z}} : \triangle \to \mathbf{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$ is defined by $\delta_{\mathbb{Z}}([n]) = C_*(\mathrm{Hom}(-,[n]);\mathbb{Z})$.

We refer to [2] for the following definition.

Definition 4.4.2. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a poset and $C \in \mathbf{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$ be a non-negatively graded chain complex. We say that C admits an *$\mathcal{A}$-cellular decomposition* if there is a functor $\Theta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbf{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that:

(a) $C \cong \mathrm{colim}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \Theta(\alpha)$;
(b) $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{A},\ \mathrm{colim}_{\beta < \alpha} \Theta(\beta) \to \Theta(\alpha)$ is a cofibration;

(c) for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, the "cell" $\Theta(\alpha)$ is contractible.

Lemma 4.4.3. *Let C be a chain complex with an $\mathcal{A}$-cellular decomposition. We have the weak equivalences*

$$C \cong \mathrm{colim}_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}\Theta(\alpha) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{hocolim}_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}\Theta(\alpha) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{hocolim}_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathcal{BA},$$

where $\mathcal{BA}$ denotes the $\delta_{\mathbb{Z}}$-realization of the nerve of the category $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof. This is [2, Section 3.1] reformulated in the chain complexes framework. See also [13, Theorem 19.9.1]. □

4.4.1. *Cellular decomposition for the relative surjections.* Let

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta : \mathcal{T}_{As}(I;x) &\to \mathbf{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})\\ [T] &\mapsto \Theta([T]) :=< (\mathcal{T}_{As})_{\leq[T]} > .\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.4.4. *$\Theta : \mathcal{T}_{As}(I;x) \to \mathbf{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$ defines a $\mathcal{T}_{As}(I;x)$-cellular decomposition of $\mathcal{RS}(I;x)$.*

Proof. The structural maps $\{i_a : \Theta(a) \to \mathcal{RS}(I;x)\}_{a\in\mathcal{T}_{As}(I;x)}$ are induced by the canonical inclusions $(\mathcal{T}_{As})_{\leq a} \to \mathcal{T}_{As}(I;x)$. It follows that they are cofibrations. Notice that, for each $a \leq b$, the inclusion $i_a^b : \Theta(a) \to \Theta(b)$ is a cofibration, as well as the inclusions $\mathrm{colim}_{a<b}\Theta(a) \to \Theta(b)$.

Let us show that the complex $\mathcal{RS}(I;x)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{colim}_{[T]\in\mathcal{T}_{As}(I;x)}\Theta([T])$. By the universal property of the colimit, it is sufficient to show that, given any complex C together with a system of maps $\{j_a : \Theta(a) \to C\}_{a\in\mathcal{T}_{As}(I;x)}$ compatible with the morphisms of $\mathcal{T}_{As}(I;x)$, there is a unique complex morphism $g : \mathcal{RS}(I;x) \to C$ making the usual diagram commute.

For a a generator of $\mathcal{RS}(I;x)$, since $a = i_a(a)$, set $g(a) = j_a(a)$ and extend it as a linear map. It follows that $dg(a) = dj_a(a) = j_a(da)$ and $da = \sum_j \pm a_j$ where $\forall j\ a_j \leq a$. Since $a_j = i_{a_j}^a(a_j)$, one has $j_a(a_j) = j_{a_j}(a_j)$ so that $j_a(da) = \sum_j \pm j_{a_j}(a_j) = g(da)$.

The contractibility of $\Theta(a)$ is given by Proposition 4.3.8. □

4.4.2. *Cellular decomposition for the relative braces.* For $[T] \in \mathcal{T}_{As}$, we consider the sub poset of $\mathcal{T}$,

$$\mathcal{P}^{[T]} = \{c(T') \in \mathcal{T} \mid [T'] \leq [T] \in \mathcal{T}_{As}\}.$$

Let us define functors

$$\begin{aligned}C^{[T]} : \mathcal{P}^{[T]} &\to \mathbf{Ch}(\mathbb{Z}) \qquad\qquad \text{and} \qquad \Theta_\infty : \mathcal{T}_{As}(I;x) \to \mathbf{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})\\ a &\mapsto C_a :=< (\mathcal{T})_{\leq a} > \qquad\qquad [T] \mapsto \Theta_\infty([T]) := \mathrm{colim}_{a\in\mathcal{P}^{[T]}} C_a.\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.4.5. *The functor $\Theta_\infty : \mathcal{T}_{As}(I;x) \to \mathbf{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$ defines a $\mathcal{T}_{As}(I;x)$-cellular decomposition of $\mathcal{RB}(I;x)$.*

Proof. See Section 4.5. □

Theorem 4.4.6. *The operad $\mathcal{RB}$ is weakly equivalent to the operad of chains with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$ of the operad $\mathcal{SC}_2$.*

Proof. By [24], it is sufficient to show that $\Phi : \mathcal{RB} \to \mathcal{RS}$ is a weak equivalence of dg-operads. In order to do this we prove that for every $(I;x)$ the map of chain complexes $\Phi(I;x) : \mathcal{RB}(I;x) \to \mathcal{RS}(I;x)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Let us prove that Φ induces a natural transformation of functors from Θ_∞ to Θ, that is for each $(I;x)$, the map $\Phi_{I;x} : \mathcal{RB}(I;x) \to \mathcal{RS}(I;x)$ satisfies $\Phi_{I;x}(\Theta_\infty([T])) \subset \Theta([T]), \forall [T] \in \mathcal{T}_{As}(I;x)$ and commutes with the inclusion maps. Indeed any generator $a \in \Theta_\infty([T])$ can be viewed as an element in $(\mathcal{T})_{\leq c(T')}$ with $[T'] \leq [T]$. If $a \notin \mathcal{T}_{2,1}$ then $\Phi_{I;x}(a) = 0$. If $a \in \mathcal{T}_{2,1}$ then a is obtained by blowing up the neutral vertices of $c(T')$ so that $[a] \leq [T'] \leq [T]$. Hence $\Phi_{I;x}(a) \in \Theta([T])$. If $[T] \leq [T']$, then the structure diagram commutes. We denote by $\Phi_{[T]}$ the induced map $\Theta_\infty(T) \to \Theta([T])$. complexes

The cells $\Theta_\infty([T])$ and $\Theta([T])$ being contractible, it follows that $\Phi_{[T]}$ is a quasi-isomorphism for every $[T]$. By homotopy invariance we deduce that Φ induces a quasi-isomorphism $\mathrm{hocolim}_{[T]}\Theta_\infty([T]) \to \mathrm{hocolim}_{[T]}\Theta([T])$.

Thus, $\Phi_{I;x}$ is a weak equivalence of complexes by Lemma 4.4.3. □

4.5. **Proof of the cellular decomposition of $\mathcal{RB}$ (Proposition 4.4.5).**

4.5.1. *Decomposition as a colimit.* We prove the existence of an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{RB}(I;x) \cong \mathrm{colim}_{\mathcal{T}_{As}(I;x)}\Theta_\infty. \tag{26}$$

Let us begin by a few preliminary considerations.

Notation 4.5.1. The tree obtained by grafting k trees $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$ onto a vertex v is denoted by $B(v; \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k)$. Notice that every tree can be written in that way.

Lemma 4.5.2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{T}$.*

(a) there exists $T' \in \mathcal{T}_{2,1}$ such that $T \leq c(T')$. If $T \notin \mathcal{T}_\blacksquare$, then T' can be chosen with no neutral vertices so that $c(T') = T'$.

(b) If $T \in \mathcal{T}_{2,1} \setminus \mathcal{T}_\blacksquare$, there exists a tree $T' \in \mathcal{T}_{2,1}$ with no neutral vertices such that $T \leq T'$ and

$$T = d^{\mathcal{A}}(T'),\ c(T) = d^{F\mathcal{A}}(T') \text{ with } \mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{Nest}_2(T').$$

Proof. Proof of (a):

i) Every neutral round-shaped vertex in a tree $T \in \mathcal{T} \setminus \mathbf{T}_\blacksquare$ may be seen as coming from the blow-up of a closed vertex, so that T is the boundary of a tree with no neutral vertices.

ii) If $T \in \mathcal{T}(I;o)$ and $T \notin \mathcal{T}_\blacksquare$ then T writes $T = T_1 \cdots T_{2p+1}$ where T_{2k} is an open rooted tree and T_{2k+1} is either the "empty" word or a word of neutral square-shaped rooted trees. For $1 \leq k < p$, there exists an open rooted tree T'_k such that $T_{2k-1}T_{2k} \leq T'_k$ and by i) we can choose T'_k with no neutral vertices. Similarly $T_{2p-1}T_{2p}T_{2p+1} \leq T'_p$ with T'_p an open rooted tree with no neutral vertices. Hence $T \leq T' = T'_1 \ldots T'_p$ where $T' \in \mathcal{T}_{2,1}$ has no neutral vertices.

iii) If $T = T_1 \ldots T_p \in \mathcal{T}_\blacksquare$ then $T \leq c(T) = B(v; \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k)$ where v is neutral square-shaped. By i) there exist $\alpha'_1, \ldots, \alpha'_k$ with no neutral vertices such that $\alpha_i \leq \alpha'_i$. The word $T' = B(v_1; \alpha'_1) \ldots B(v_k; \alpha'_k)$ with v_i neutral square-shaped is in $\mathcal{T}_{2,1}$ and satisfies $T \leq c(T') = B(v; \alpha'_1, \ldots, \alpha'_k)$.

Proof of (b): we apply part (a) to $c(T)$, so that there exists $T' \in \mathcal{T}_{2,1}$ with no neutral vertices such that $c(T) \leq T'$. It follows that $T \leq c(T) \leq T'$. If $\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{Nest}_2(T')$ is such that $T = d^{\mathcal{A}}(T')$, then $c(T) = d^{F\mathcal{A}}(T')$. Indeed, let $\mathcal{B}$ be such that $c(T) = d^{\mathcal{B}}(T')$. Since T is

obtained from $c(T)$ by blow-ups of neutral vertices only, it follows that $\mathcal{A} \leq \mathcal{B}$ is obtained from $\mathcal{B}$ by adding sub intervals only. □

Proposition 4.5.3. *Let $[T_1], \dots, [T_n] \in \mathcal{T}_{As}$. If $u \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} (\mathcal{T})_{\leq c(T_i)}$, then every element $P_u \in \mathcal{T}_{2,1}$ obtained by blowing up the neutral vertices of u satisfies*

$$P_u \leq u \leq c(P_u)$$
$$[P_u] \leq [T_i],\ \forall 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Proof. First notice that if the intersection is non empty, then either $T_i \in \mathcal{T} \setminus \mathcal{T}_{\blacksquare}$ for all i or $T_i \in \mathcal{T}_{\blacksquare}$ for all i.

Assume first that $\forall i, T_i \notin \mathcal{T}_{\blacksquare}$. Let $u \in \cap_{i=1}^{n} (\mathcal{T})_{\leq c(T_i)}$, and let $P_u \in \mathcal{T}_{2,1}$ obtained by blowing up the neutral vertices of u. In particular $u \leq c(P_u)$. By Lemma 4.5.2, there exists T' with no neutral vertices such that $T_1 = d^{\mathcal{A}}(T'),\ c(T_1) = d^{F\mathcal{A}}(T')$ with $\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{Nest}_2(T')$. As a consequence, since $u \leq c(T_1) \leq T'$, there exists $\mathcal{B}$ such that $u = d^{\mathcal{B}}(T')$. In particular, $\mathcal{B} \leq F\mathcal{A}$. Since $P_u \leq u \leq T'$, one has $P_u = d^{\mathcal{B}'}(T')$ where $\mathcal{B}' \in \mathrm{Nest}_2(T')$ is a completion of $\mathcal{B}$ by sub intervals as in Definition 4.3.5. It implies in particular that $\mathcal{B}' \leq F\mathcal{A}$ and that the intervals of $F\mathcal{A}$ have been completed in $\mathcal{B}'$ so that $[\mathcal{B}'] \leq [\mathcal{A}]$. As a consequence $[P_u] \leq [T_1]$. The same arguments show that $[P_u] \leq [T_i]$, for all i.

Assume that $\forall i, T_i \in \mathcal{T}_{\blacksquare}$ and let $u \in \cap_{i=1}^{n} (\mathcal{T})_{\leq c(T_i)}$. Applying the bijection $\natural$ and Propositions 4.2.11 and 4.3.4, one has

$$P_{\natural u} \leq \natural u \leq c(P_{\natural u})$$
$$[P_{\natural u}] \leq [\natural T_i],\ \forall 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Notice that $\natural^{-1} P_{\natural u}$ is obtained by blowing up the neutral vertices of u, and then is of the form P_u. Hence applying the bijection $\natural^{-1}$ one gets the result. □

Proof of (26). Let us notice first that $\mathcal{RB}$ is the colimit of the functor $C : \mathcal{T} \to \mathbf{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$ which associated to T the complex $< (\mathcal{T})_{\leq T} >$. It induces, by restriction of categories, canonical inclusions $i_a : \Theta_\infty(a) \to \mathcal{RB}$ so that the system $(\mathcal{RB}, i_a)$ commutes with the structural maps $\{i_a^b : \Theta_\infty(a) \subseteq \Theta_\infty(b)\}_{a \leq b}$.

Let (D, j_a) be a system in $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$ that commutes with those structural maps. Let us define $g : (\mathcal{RB}(I; x), i_a) \to (D, j_a)$ as follows.

For a generator $u \in \mathcal{RB}(I; x)$, there is an $a \in \mathcal{T}_{As}(I; x)$ and a $u_a \in \Theta_\infty(a)$ such that $i_a(u_a) = u$, cf. Lemma 4.5.2.

Let us define $g(u) = j_a(u_a)$.

To see that g is well defined it is sufficient to show that, if there are $a, b \in \mathcal{T}_{As}(I; x)$ and u_a, u_b such that $u = i_a(u_a) = i_b(u_b)$, then there exist $c \in \mathcal{T}_{As}(I; x)$ and $u_c \in \Theta_\infty(c)$ such that $u = i_a(i_c^a(u_c)) = i_b(i_c^b(u_c))$. Since i_a, i_b are canonical inclusions, let us denote by $u := i_a(u_a) = u_a = i_b(u_b) = u_b$. There exist $[T_a] \leq a$ and $[T_b] \leq b$ such that $u \in (\mathcal{T})_{\leq c(T_a)} \cap (\mathcal{T})_{\leq c(T_b)}$. By Proposition 4.5.3, $u \in (\mathcal{T})_{\leq c(P_u)}$ with $[P_u] \leq [T_a] \leq a$ and $[P_u] \leq [T_b] \leq b$, hence $c = [P_u]$ fulfills the conditions.

Let us extend g by linearity and let $u \in \mathcal{RB}$ and $u_a \in \Theta_\infty(a)$ such that $i_a(u_a) = u$. Since $du = \sum_i \pm u_i$ with $u_i \leq u$ there exists $u_{i,a} \in \Theta_\infty(a)$ such that $u_i = i_a(u_{i,a})$. Hence $g(du) = j_a(du) = dj_a(u) = dg(u)$. □

4.5.2. *Contractibility of the cells.*

Definition 4.5.4. Let $n, p \geq 1$. Let $\mathcal{A}_1, ..., \mathcal{A}_p$ be p elements of $\mathrm{Nest}(S(n))$ (resp. in $\mathrm{Nest}(I(n))$). For $1 \leq k \leq p$, let A_k^0 (resp. A_k^{left}) be the largest interval of $\mathcal{A}_k$ containing zero (resp. left) with the convention that it is the empty set if such an interval does not exist in $\mathcal{A}_k$. The sets $\mathcal{A}_1, ..., \mathcal{A}_p$ are called *separated* if $\bigcap_{1\leq k\leq p} A_k^0 = \emptyset$ (resp. if $\bigcap_{1\leq k\leq p} A_k^{\mathrm{left}} = \emptyset$).

Remark 4.5.5. Let $T \in \mathcal{T}(I; x)$ with no neutral vertices and $T' \leq T$. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{Nest}(T)$ such that $T' = d^{\mathcal{A}}(T)$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is separated for each vertex, then $c(T') = d^{F\mathcal{A}}(T)$.

Let $n \geq 2$ and $T, T_1, ..., T_n \in \mathcal{T}_{2,1}(I; x)$ be such that $[T_1], ..., [T_n] \in (\mathcal{T}_{As})_{\leq [T]}$. Assume $T \notin \mathcal{T}_{\blacksquare}$. By Lemma 4.5.2, there is an element T' with no neutral vertices such that $[T] \leq [T']$ and then $[T_1], ..., [T_n] \in (\mathcal{T}_{As})_{\leq [T']}$. It results that, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exists $\mathcal{A}_i \in \mathrm{Nest}_2(T')$ such that $[T_i] = d^{[\mathcal{A}_i]}([T'])$.

Lemma 4.5.6. *With the notation above, suppose $\bigwedge_{1\leq i\leq n} F\mathcal{A}_i \in \mathrm{Nest}(T')$. There exist $Y \in \mathcal{T}_{2,1}(I; x)$ and, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, an element $\mathcal{B}_i \in \mathrm{Nest}(Y)$ such that:*

- *$[T_i] = d^{[\mathcal{B}_i]}([Y]) \in \mathcal{T}_{As}(I; x)$;*
- *$\bigwedge_{1\leq i\leq n} F\mathcal{B}_i \in \mathrm{Nest}(Y)$ and $F\mathcal{B}_1, ..., F\mathcal{B}_n$ are separated for each vertex.*

Proof. Recall that the vertices of T' are either closed or open, and are ordered. We build Y by backward induction on the vertices v of T'. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $K_i := d^{F\mathcal{A}_i}(T') \in \mathcal{T}$. We concentrate on the vertices v such that the $F\mathcal{A}_1^v, ..., F\mathcal{A}_n^v$ are not separated.
First case. If v is an open vertex, then $T' = R_1 \dots R_k$ is a word of open rooted trees. Let us write $R_i = B(v_i, \alpha_i^1, \dots, \alpha_i^{l_i})$. By assumption, the set $J_v = \bigcap_{1\leq k\leq p} A_k^{v,\mathrm{left}} = \{\mathrm{left}, 1, \dots, s_v\}$ is non empty and is the maximal interval such that all the inputs of v in T' that are indexed by elements of J_v are at the left of v in $K_1, ..., K_n$. Starting from T', we construct Y locally as follows.

(a) In case there exists $i > 1$ such that $v_i = v$, we set $Y = R_1 \dots R'_{i-1} R'_i \dots R_k$ with $R'_{i-1} = B(v_{i-1}; \alpha_{i-1}^1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}^{l_{i-1}}, \alpha_i^1, \dots, \alpha_i^{s_v})$ and $R'_i = B(v_i; \alpha_i^{s_v+1}, \dots, \alpha_i^{l_i})$.
(b) If $v = v_1$, we set $Y = B(\blacksquare; \alpha_1^1) \dots B(\blacksquare; \alpha_1^{s_v}) B(v_1; \alpha_1^{s_v+1}, \dots, \alpha_1^{l_1}) R_2 \dots R_k$ (Figure 4).

Second case. If v is a closed vertex, let $T'_v = B(v, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l)$ be the maximal subtree of T' whose root is v. The set $J_v = \bigcap_{1\leq k\leq p} A_k^{v,0} = \{i_v < \dots < 0 < \dots < j_v\}$ is non empty and is the maximal interval such that all the inputs of v in T' indexed by J_v are below the vertex v in $K_1, ..., K_n$. Starting from T', we construct Y locally as follows.

(a) If the vertex v is not the root of T', then there exists a vertex w in T' such that $T'_w = B(w, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$ with $T'_v = \beta_i$ for some i. The element Y is obtained from T' by replacing T'_w by

$$B(w; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{i-1}, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{j_v}, B(v, \alpha_{j_v+1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_v-1}), \alpha_{i_v}, \dots, \alpha_l, \beta_{i+1}, \dots, \beta_m)$$

(b) If v is the root vertex of T', then $T' = T'_v$. Let $T''_v = B(v, \alpha_{j_v+1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_v-1})$ and X be a binary tree with neutral round shape vertices with $|J_v|$ leaves. The tree Y is obtained from X by attaching $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{j_v}, T''_v, \alpha_{i_v}, \dots, \alpha_m$ to its leaves (Figure 5).

Let us point out that K_i is not necessarily smaller than Y. However, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exists P_i such that $P_i \leq K_i$ and $P_i \leq Y$. Indeed, P_i is obtained by adding J_v to $F\mathcal{A}_i^v$, for each vertex v.

For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\mathcal{C}_i$ be such that $P_i = d^{\mathcal{C}_i}(Y)$. The elements $\mathcal{C}_1, ..., \mathcal{C}_n$ are separated by construction and $\bigcup_{1\leq i\leq n} \mathcal{C}_i \in \mathrm{Nest}(Y)$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, the element $\mathcal{B}_i \in \mathrm{Nest}_2(Y)$ is obtained from $\mathcal{C}_i$ by adding subintervals.



FIGURE 4. The element Y from T', K_1 and K_2 (open case).



FIGURE 5. The element Y from T', K_1 and K_2 (closed case).

□

Remark 4.5.7. With the notations above, for each open (resp. closed) vertex v of Y, there is at least one $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $\mathcal{B}_j^v$ has no intervals containing left (resp. 0). Indeed, this corresponds to the $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that the intersection interval J_v is the interval of $F\mathcal{A}_j^v$ containing left (resp. 0).

Proposition 4.5.8. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $T, T_1, ..., T_n \in \mathcal{T}_{2,1}(I; x)$ be such that $[T_1], ..., [T_n] \in (\mathcal{T}_{As})_{\leq [T]}$. Then either $\bigcap_{1\leq i\leq n}(\mathcal{T})_{\leq c(T_i)} = \emptyset$ or, there exist $P, Q \in \mathcal{T}$ such that*

$$\bigcap_{1\leq i\leq n} (\mathcal{T})_{\leq c(T_i)} = (\mathcal{T})_{\leq P},$$

$$\bigcap_{1\leq i\leq n} (\mathcal{T})_{\leq c(T_i)} \bigcap (\mathcal{T})_{\leq c(T)} = (\mathcal{T})_{\leq Q}$$

Proof. Assume first that $T \notin \mathcal{T}_{\blacksquare}$ and that the intersection $\bigcap_{1\leq i\leq n}(\mathcal{T})_{\leq c(T_i)}$ is non empty. Let u be an element in the intersection.

By Lemma 4.5.2, there exists $T' \geq T$ with no neutral vertices, and $\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{Nest}_2(T')$ such that $T = d^{\mathcal{A}}T'$ and $c(T) = d^{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{A}}T'$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\mathcal{A}_i \in \mathrm{Nest}_2(T')$ be such that $[T_i] = d^{[\mathcal{A}_i]}([T'])$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let us define $K_i := d^{F\mathcal{A}_i}(T')$. Notice that $K_i \leq c(T_i)$.

Let P_u be obtained as in Proposition 4.5.3, that is, P_u is obtained as a blow-up of neutral vertices of $u \leq c(T_i)$ and $[P_u] \leq [T_i]$ for all i, so that $P_u \leq K_i$ for all i. Writing P_u as $d^{\mathcal{B}}T'$

with $\mathcal{B} \in \mathrm{Nest}_2(T')$, one gets $\mathcal{B} \leq F\mathcal{A}_i$ for all i and thus $\mathcal{B} \leq \wedge_i F\mathcal{A}_i$. As a consequence $\wedge_i F\mathcal{A}_i$ is nested.

By Lemma 4.5.6, we can assume that $F\mathcal{A}_1, ..., F\mathcal{A}_n$ are separated. Let us define $P = d^{\wedge_i F\mathcal{A}_i}(T)$. It follows from Proposition 4.2.9 that $P = \wedge_i K_i$. In particular, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $P \leq K_i \leq c(T_i)$, so that $P \in \bigcap_{1\leq i\leq n}(\mathcal{T})_{\leq c(T_i)}$.

Let us show that $\bigcap_{1\leq i\leq n}(\mathcal{T})_{\leq c(T_i)} \subset (\mathcal{T})_{\leq P}$. In order to do this, we prove that any $a \in \bigcap_{1\leq i\leq n}(\mathcal{T})_{\leq c(T_i)}$ satisfies $a \leq K_i$ for all i.

Notice that if $K_i < c(T_i)$, then we are necessarily in one of the two following situations: there exist vertices $w < v$ in T' such that $F\mathcal{A}_i^v$ has an interval containing 0 and $F\mathcal{A}_i^w$ has an interval containing the labelling of the edge joining w to v; or there exist vertices $w < v$ in T' such that $F\mathcal{A}_i^v$ has an interval containing left and $F\mathcal{A}_i^w$ has an interval containing right (see the example of the trees K_1, K_2 in Figure 4 and the tree K_1 in Figure 5). Hence, $c(T_i)$ has a neutral vertex z with some inputs in $In(v)$ and some others in $In(w)$ and satisfying $w < z < v$. Remark 4.5.7 implies that there is at least one $1 \leq j_0 \leq n$ such that $F\mathcal{A}_{j_0}^v$ has no interval containing 0 or left. Consequently, there is no vertex $z \in c(T_{j_0})$ satisfying the same conditions than the one for $c(T_i)$. Since $a \leq c(T_i)$ and $a \leq c(T_{j_0})$, it is obtained as a blow-up of $c(T_i)$ and $c(T_{j_0})$, which means that z must be blowned up in order to sepate the inputs in $In(v)$ from the inputs in $In(w)$. Applying this argument for every vertices in T' one gets $a \leq K_i$ for every i. Hence $a \leq \wedge_i K_i = P$.

Assume $T \in \mathcal{T}_{\blacksquare}$. Since $\natural$ commutes with the contraction map (Proposition 4.2.11) and passes to the quotient in $\mathcal{T}_{As}$ (Proposition 4.3.4), one has

$$\natural\left(\bigcap_{1\leq i\leq n}(\mathcal{T})_{\leq c(T_i)}\right) = \bigcap_{1\leq i\leq n}(\mathcal{T})_{\leq c(\natural T_i)}$$

which is either empty or of the form $(\mathcal{T})_{\leq P}$.

Let us prove the second part of the Proposition. We assume that $\bigcap_{1\leq i\leq n}(\mathcal{T})_{\leq c(T_i)}$ is non empty and let u be an element in the intersection. Applying Proposition 4.5.3, there exists $P_u \in \mathcal{T}_{2,1}$ so that $P_u \leq u$, $[P_u] \leq [T_i] \leq [T]$ and $P_u \leq T \leq c(T)$. As a consequence, $P_u \in \bigcap_{1\leq i\leq n}(\mathcal{T})_{\leq c(T_i)} \bigcap (\mathcal{T})_{\leq c(T)}$. Applying the first part of the Proposition, there exists $Q \in \mathcal{T}$ such that

$$\bigcap_{1\leq i\leq n}(\mathcal{T})_{\leq c(T_i)} \bigcap (\mathcal{T})_{\leq c(T)} = (\mathcal{T})_{\leq Q}$$

□

Proposition 4.5.9. *For all $[T] \in \mathcal{T}_{As}$, $\Theta_\infty([T])$ is contractible.*

Proof. In this proof we use the results of Borsuk and Bjorner in [5] or [4], and more specifically the nerve theorem. Let $[T]$ be in $\mathcal{T}_{As}$. Following [5] or [4] we associate to the covering of $\Theta_\infty([T])$ by $< (\mathcal{T})_{\leq a} >_{a\in P^{[T]}}$ the nerve $N^{[T]}$ which is the simplicial complex whose vertices are labelled by $a \in P^{[T]}$. Simplices are given by $\sigma \subset P^{[T]}$ such that $\cap_{a\in\sigma}(\mathcal{T})_{\leq a} \neq \emptyset$. Applying Propositon 4.5.8 and Proposition 4.2.9 we get that a non empty intersection is contractible. The nerve theorem asserts that $\Theta_\infty([T])$ has the homotopy type of that of $N^{[T]}$. Proposition 4.5.8 implies that $N^{[T]}$ is a cone whose apex is the vertex $c(T)$, hence is contractible. □

Appendix A. Generating operations of $\Lambda_c\mathcal{RB}$

We recall here our notation for the generating operations of $\Lambda_c\mathcal{RB}$. This operad acts on a pair of dgvs $((L,d_L),(R,d_R))$ with

• (R,μ,d_R) is a dg algebra, whose structure maps are equivalently given by a square zero coderivation $d_{\mathbf{s}R}+\partial_2$ on $T^c(\mathbf{s}R)$;

• For $n\geq 2$, the maps $\delta_n : L^{\otimes n}\to L$ assemble with d_L to a square zero coderivation on $T^c(L)$. This implies that

$$\sum_{i+j=n+1}\delta_i\circ\delta_j+\delta_n\circ d_L+d_L\circ\delta_n=0,$$

that is,

$$d_{\Lambda_c\mathcal{RB}}(\delta_n)=-\sum_{i+j=n+1}\delta_i\circ\delta_j,$$

which is Relation (9).

• $M_{1,q}: L\otimes L^{\otimes q}\to L$ defines a morphism of coalgebras $M: T^cL\otimes T^cL\to T^cL$ which is associative and is compatible with δ. Notice that for $x\in L$ and $\underline{y}\in L^{\otimes n}$, one has

$$M(x;\underline{y})=\sum\pm y_{(1)}\otimes M(x;y_{(2)})\otimes y_{(3)},$$

which implies that

$$d_LM_{1,n}+\sum_{a+b=n+1}\delta_b\circ M_{1,a}=M\circ d_L+\sum_{a+b=n+1}M_{1,a}\circ\delta_b$$

that is

$$d_{\Lambda_c\mathcal{RB}}M_{1,n}=\sum_{a+b=n+1}M_{1,a}\circ\delta_b-\delta_b\circ M_{1,a},$$

which is Relation (10).

• Recall that

$$K: T^c\mathbf{s}R\otimes T^c(L)\to T^c\mathbf{s}R,$$

is the unique coalgebra morphism so that $K_{0,n}=\mathbf{s}G_n$ and $K(\mathbf{s}r,\underline{l})=\mathbf{s}\Gamma_{1,q}(r,\underline{l})$.

In addition K is compatible with the differential. For $\mathbf{s}r\in\mathbf{s}R$ and $\underline{l}\in L^{\otimes b}$, one has

$$K(\mathbf{s}r,\underline{l})=K_{1,n}(\mathbf{s}r,\underline{l})+\sum_{\substack{i+j=n\\ i>0}}(-1)^{|l_{(1)}||\mathbf{s}r|}K_{0,i}(l_{(1)})\otimes K_{1,j}(\mathbf{s}r,l_{(2)})+\sum_{\substack{i+j=n\\ j>0}}K_{1,i}(\mathbf{s}r,l_{(1)})\otimes K_{0,j}(l_{(2)})$$
$$+\ \text{higher terms}$$

As a consequence, the compatibility with the differential gives

$$d_{\mathbf{s}R}K_{1,n}(\mathbf{s}r,\underline{l})+\partial_2\left(\sum_{\substack{i+j=n\\ i>0}}(-1)^{|l_{(1)}||\mathbf{s}r|}K_{0,i}(l_{(1)})\otimes K_{1,j}(\mathbf{s}r,l_{(2)})+\sum_{\substack{i+j=n\\ j>0}}K_{1,i}(\mathbf{s}r,l_{(1)})\otimes K_{0,j}(l_{(2)})\right)=$$
$$K(d_{\mathbf{s}R}\mathbf{s}r,\underline{l})+(K\circ(1_{\mathbf{s}R}\otimes(d_L+\delta))(\mathbf{s}r,\underline{l})$$

which is equivalent to

$$-d_R\Gamma_{1,n}(r,\underline{l})-\sum_{i+j=n}(\mu(G_i,\Gamma_{1,j}\tau_{1,i}\cdot(r,\underline{l})+\mu(\Gamma_{1,j},G_i)(r,\underline{l}))$$

$$= -\Gamma(d_R r, \underline{l}) - (\Gamma \circ d_L + \sum_{i+j=n+1} \Gamma_i \circ \delta_j)(r, \underline{l})$$

and to

$$d_{\Lambda_c \mathcal{RB}} \Gamma_{1,n} = \sum_{i+j=n+1} \Gamma_i \circ \delta_j - \sum_{i+j=n} (\mu(G_i, \Gamma_{1,j}) \cdot \tau_{1,i} + \mu(\Gamma_{1,j}, G_i))$$

which is Relation (11), where $\tau_{1,i}$ is the permutation that permutes the first variable with the next i variables.

- The same computation holds for $K_0 : T^c(L) \to T^c(\mathbf{s}R)$:

$$d_{\mathbf{s}R} K_{0,n}(\underline{l}) + \sum_{a+b=n} \partial_2(K_{0,a}(l_{(1)}) \otimes K_{0,b}(l_{(2)})) = K_0((d_L + \delta)(\underline{l})),$$

and

$$-d_R G_n(\underline{l}) + \sum_{a+b=n} (-1)^{|l_{(1)}|+1} \mu(G_a(l_{(1)})) \otimes G_b(l_{(2)})) = (G \circ d_L + G \circ \delta)(\underline{l}).$$

As a consequence, we have:

$$d_{\Lambda_c \mathcal{RB}} G_n = - \sum_{a+b=n} \mu(G_a \otimes G_b) - \sum_{i+j=n+1} G_i \circ \delta_j$$

which is Relation (12).

References

[1] C. Aponte, M. Livernet, M. Robertson, S. Whitehouse et S. Ziegenhagen, *Representations of derived A-infinity algebras*, to appear in Proceedings of the August 2013 "Women in Topology" workshop at BIRS, Contemporary Mathematics.

[2] M. Batanin and C. Berger. The lattice path operad and Hochschild cochains. *Contemp. Math.*, 504:23–59, 2009.

[3] J. H. Baues, *The double bar and cobar constructions*, Compos. Math. 43 (1981), 331? 341.

[4] A. Bjorner, *Homotopy type of posets and Lattice complementation*, Journal of combinatorial theory, pages 90-100, 1981.

[5] K. Borsuk, *On the imbedding of systems of compacta in simplicial complexes*, Fund. Math. 35, (1948). 217-234.

[6] R. Campos, and T. Willwacher, *Operadic torsors*. J. Algebra 458 (2016), 71?86.

[7] Satyan Devadoss, *A space of cyclohedra*, Discrete Comput. Geom., vol. 29, 2003, pp. 61–75.

[8] Vasily Dolgushev, Dimitry Tamarkin, and Boris Tsygan, *Proof of Swiss cheese version of Deligne's conjecture*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **20**, 2011, 4666-4746.

[9] V.A. Dolgushev and T. Willwacher, *A Direct Computation of the Cohomology of the Braces Operad*, preprint 2014; arXiv:1411.1685.

[10] V.A. Dolgushev and T. Willwacher, *Operadic Twisting – with an application to Deligne's conjecture*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 219 (2015), no. 5, 1349-1428.

[11] Murray Gerstenhaber and Alexander A. Voronov, *Homotopy G-algebras and moduli space operad* Int. Math. Res. Not. **3**, 141-153 (1995)

[12] Ezra Getzler and John D. S. Jones, *A_∞-algebras and the cyclic bar complex*, Illinois J. Math. **34** (1990), no. 2, 256–283.

[13] Philip S. Hirschhorn, *Model categories and their localizations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs vol. 99, American Mathematical Society, 1990.

[14] Eduardo Hoefel, *OCHA and the Swiss-cheese operad.*, J. Hom. Relat. Struct. **4** (2009), no. 1, 123–151.

[15] Eduardo Hoefel and Muriel Livernet, *Open-Closed homotopy algebras and strong homotopy Leibniz pairs through Koszul operad theory*, Lett. Math. Phys. **101** (2012), no. 2, 195–222.

[16] Eduardo Hoefel and Muriel Livernet, *On the spectral sequence of the Swiss-cheese operad*, Algebraic and Geometric Topology **13** (2013) 2039-2060.

[17] Mark Hovey, *Model categories*, American Mathematical Society **63** (1999) Mathematical Surveys and Monographs.
[18] R. Kaufmann, R. Schwell, *Associahedra, cyclohedra and a topological solution to the A_∞ Deligne conjecture*, Adv. Math. 223 (2010), no. 6, 2166–2199.
[19] Maxim Kontsevich, *Operads and motives in deformation quantization*, Lett. Math. Phys. **48** (1999), no. 1, 35–72, Moshé Flato (1937–1998).
[20] M. Kontsevich, Y. Soibelman, *Deformations of algebras over operads and Deligne's conjecture*, in: conference Moshe Flato 1999. vol. I, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000, pp. 255-307.
[21] Muriel Livernet, *Non-formality of the Swiss-cheese operad*, Journal of Topology 2015; doi: 10.1112/jtopol/jtv018.
[22] Jean-Louis Loday and Bruno Vallette, *Algebraic operads*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 346, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2012.
[23] Martin Markl, *Simplex, associahedron, and cyclohedron*, in Higher Homotopy Structures in Topology and Mathematical Physics, pp. 235-265, Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 227, AMS, 1999.
[24] Alexandre Quesney, *Swiss Cheese type operads and models for relative loop spaces*, Preprint arxiv: 1511.05826.
[25] J. Stasheff *Homotopy associativity of H-spaces I, II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1963,275312, Vol. 108.
[26] Victor Turchin, *On the Homology of the Spaces of Long Knots*, in "Advances in Topological Quantum Field Theory". NATO Sciences series by Kluwer 2005, pp 23-52
[27] Pepijn van der Laan, *Operads, Hopf algebras and coloured Koszul duality*, 2004, PhD thesis Universiteit Utrecht.
[28] Alexander A. Voronov, *The Swiss-cheese operad*, Homotopy invariant algebraic structures (Baltimore, MD, 1998), Contemp. Math., vol. 239, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 365–373.
[29] Barton Zwiebach, *Oriented open-closed string theory revisited*, Preprint MIT-CTP-2644, HUTP-97/A025, MIT, May 1997, hep-th/9705241.

Universidade Federal do Paraná, Departamento de Matemática C.P. 019081, 81531-990 Curitiba, PR - Brazil
E-mail address: hoefel@ufpr.br

Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, IMJ-PRG, UMR 7586 CNRS, 75013 Paris, France
E-mail address: livernet@math.univ-paris-diderot.fr

Universidade de São Paulo, Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas e de Computação, Avenida Trabalhador São-carlense, 400 - CEP: 13566-590 - São Carlos, SP - Brazil
E-mail address: math@quesney.org